

S U S A N

C O M B S

TEXAS COMPTROLLER *of* PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • AUSTIN, TX 78711-3528



October 21, 2011

Jimmy Thomas  
Superintendent  
Borden County Independent School District  
P. O. Box 95  
Gail, Texas 79738

Dear Superintendent Thomas:

On October 14, 2011, the agency received the completed application for a limitation on appraised value originally submitted to the Borden County Independent School District (Borden County ISD) by Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC (Wind Tex Energy) in August, 2011, under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313. This letter presents the Comptroller's recommendation regarding Wind Tex Energy's application as required by Section 313.025(d), using the criteria set out by Section 313.026. Our review assumes the truth and accuracy of the statements in the application and that, if the application is approved, the applicant would perform according to the provisions of the agreement reached with the school district. Filing an application containing false information is a criminal offense under Texas Penal Code Chapter 37.

According to the provisions of Chapter 313, Borden County ISD is currently classified as a rural school district in Category 1. The applicant properly applied under the provisions of Subchapter C, as applicable to rural school districts, and the amount of proposed qualified investment (\$198,633,031) is consistent with the proposed appraised value limitation sought (\$30 million). The property value limitation amount noted in this recommendation is based on property values available at the time of application and may change prior to the execution of any final agreement.

Wind Tex Energy is proposing the construction of a wind power electric generation facility in Lynn and Borden Counties. Wind Tex Energy is an active franchise taxpayer, as required by Tax Code Section 313.024(a), and is in good standing. After reviewing the application using the criteria listed in Section 313.026, and the information provided by Wind Tex Energy, the Comptroller's recommendation is that Wind Tex Energy's application under Tax Code Chapter 313 be approved.

Our recommendation does not address whether the applicant has complied with all Chapter 313 requirements. Chapter 313 places the responsibility to verify that all requirements of the statute have been fulfilled on the school district. Section 313.025 requires the school district to determine if the evidence supports making specific findings that the information in the application is true and correct, the applicant is eligible for a limitation and that granting the application is in the best interest of the school district and state. When approving a job waiver requested under Section 313.025(f-1), the school district must also find that the statutory jobs creation requirement exceeds the industry standard for the number of employees reasonably necessary for the operation of the facility. As stated above, we prepared the recommendation by generally reviewing the application and supporting documentation in light of the Section 313.026 criteria and a cursory review of the industry standard evidence necessary to support the waiver of the required number of jobs.

Mr. Jimmy Thomas  
October 21, 2011  
Page Two

The Comptroller's recommendation is based on the final, completed application that has been submitted to this office, and may not be used to support an approval if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. This recommendation is contingent on the following:

1. No later than 10 days prior to the meeting scheduled by the district to consider approving the agreement, applicant submitting to this office a draft limitation agreement that complies with the statutes, the Comptroller's rules, and is consistent with the application;
2. The Comptroller providing written confirmation that it received and reviewed the draft agreement and affirming the recommendation made in this letter;
3. The district approving and executing a limitation agreement that has been reviewed by this office within a year from the date of this letter. As required by Comptroller Rule 9.1055 (34 T.A.C. 9.1055), the signed limitation agreement must be forwarded to our office as soon as possible after execution.

During the 81st Legislative Session, House Bill 3676 made a number of changes to the chapter. Please visit our Web site at [www.window.state.tx.us/taxinfo/proptax/hb1200](http://www.window.state.tx.us/taxinfo/proptax/hb1200) to find an outline of the program and links to applicable rules and forms.

Should you have any questions, please contact Robert Wood, director of Economic Development & Analysis Division, by email at [robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us](mailto:robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us) or by phone at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 3-3973, or direct in Austin at 512-463-3973.

Sincerely,



Martin A. Hubert  
Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Robert Wood

**Economic Impact for Chapter 313 Project**

Applicant	Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Borden County Independent School District
2009-10 Enrollment in School District	220
County	Borden & Lynn
Total Investment in District	\$203,328,000
Qualified Investment	\$198,633,031
Limitation Amount	\$10,000,000
Number of total jobs committed to by applicant	4*
Number of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	4
Average Weekly Wage of Qualifying Jobs committed to by applicant	\$876
Minimum Weekly Wage Required Tax Code, 313.051(b)	\$876
Minimum Annual Wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$45,538
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$50,832,000
Estimated 15 year M&O levy without any limit or credit:	\$19,668,861
Estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit	\$11,530,419
Estimated 15 year M&O tax benefit ( <i>after</i> deductions for estimated school district revenue protection--but not including any deduction for supplemental payments or extraordinary educational expenses):	\$10,958,033
Tax Credits (estimated - part of total tax benefit in the two lines above - appropriated through Foundation School Program)	\$1,591,306
Net M&O Tax (15 years) After Limitation, Credits and Revenue Protection:	\$8,710,828
Tax benefit as a percentage of what applicant would have paid without value limitation agreement (percentage exempted)	55.7%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the limitation	86.2%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the credit.	13.8%
* Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	

This presents the Comptroller's economic impact evaluation of Wind Tex Energy (the project) applying to Borden County Independent School District (the district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026. This evaluation is based on information provided by the applicant and examines the following criteria:

- (1) the recommendations of the comptroller;
- (2) the name of the school district;
- (3) the name of the applicant;
- (4) the general nature of the applicant's investment;
- (5) the relationship between the applicant's industry and the types of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant to the long-term economic growth plans of this state as described in the strategic plan for economic development submitted by the Texas Strategic Economic Development Planning Commission under Section 481.033, Government Code, as that section existed before February 1, 1999;
- (6) the relative level of the applicant's investment per qualifying job to be created by the applicant;
- (7) the number of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant;
- (8) the wages, salaries, and benefits to be offered by the applicant to qualifying job holders;
- (9) the ability of the applicant to locate or relocate in another state or another region of this state;
- (10) the impact the project will have on this state and individual local units of government, including:
  - (A) tax and other revenue gains, direct or indirect, that would be realized during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller; and
  - (B) economic effects of the project, including the impact on jobs and income, during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller;
- (11) the economic condition of the region of the state at the time the person's application is being considered;
- (12) the number of new facilities built or expanded in the region during the two years preceding the date of the application that were eligible to apply for a limitation on appraised value under this subchapter;
- (13) the effect of the applicant's proposal, if approved, on the number or size of the school district's instructional facilities, as defined by Section 46.001, Education Code;
- (14) the projected market value of the qualified property of the applicant as determined by the comptroller;
- (15) the proposed limitation on appraised value for the qualified property of the applicant;
- (16) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each year of the agreement, if the property does not receive a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment and projected tax rates clearly stated;
- (17) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each tax year of the agreement, if the property receives a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment clearly stated;
- (18) the projected effect on the Foundation School Program of payments to the district for each year of the agreement;
- (19) the projected future tax credits if the applicant also applies for school tax credits under Section 313.103; and
- (20) the total amount of taxes projected to be lost or gained by the district over the life of the agreement computed by subtracting the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (17) from the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (16).

**Wages, salaries and benefits [313.026(6-8)]**

After construction, the project will create four new jobs when fully operational. All four jobs will meet the criteria for qualifying jobs as specified in Tax Code Section 313.021(3). According to the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), the regional manufacturing wage for the Permian Basin State Planning Region, where Borden County is located was \$41,398 in 2010. The annual average manufacturing wage for 2010 for Borden County is not available. That same year, the county annual average wage for all industries was \$28,236. In addition to a salary of \$45,538, each qualifying position will receive benefits such as medical insurance coverage, paid holidays, paid vacation, and 401(k) retirement savings plan. The project's total investment is \$203 million, resulting in a relative level of investment per qualifying job of \$50.8 million.

**Ability of applicant to locate to another state and [313.026(9)]**

According to Wind Tex Energy's application, "Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC has the ability to locate a wind farm in numerous locations in the United States."

**Number of new facilities in region [313.026(12)]**

During the past two years, four projects in the Permian Basin State Planning Region applied for value limitation agreements under Tax Code, Chapter 313.

**Relationship of applicant's industry and jobs and Texas's economic growth plans [313.026(5)]**

The Texas Economic Development Plan focuses on attracting and developing industries using technology. It also identifies opportunities for existing Texas industries. The plan centers on promoting economic prosperity throughout Texas and the skilled workers that the Wind Tex Energy project requires appear to be in line with the focus and themes of the plan. Texas identified energy as one of six target clusters in the Texas Cluster Initiative. The plan stresses the importance of technology in all sectors of the energy industry.

**Economic Impact [313.026(10)(A), (10)(B), (11), (13-20)]**

Table 1 depicts Wind Tex Energy's estimated economic impact to Texas. It depicts the direct, indirect and induced effects to employment and personal income within the state. The Comptroller's office calculated the economic impact based on 15 years of annual investment and employment levels using software from Regional Economic Models, Inc. (REMI). The impact includes the construction period and the operating period of the project.

**Table 1: Estimated Statewide Economic Impact of Investment and Employment in Wind Tex Energy**

Year	Employment			Personal Income		
	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total
2011	30	30	60	\$1,710,000	\$2,290,000	\$4,000,000
2012	79	78	157	\$4,457,152	\$4,542,848	\$9,000,000
2013	4	5	9	\$182,152	\$817,848	\$1,000,000
2014	4	9	13	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2015	4	12	16	\$182,152	\$817,848	\$1,000,000
2016	4	14	18	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2017	4	12	16	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2018	4	15	19	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2019	4	16	20	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2020	4	14	18	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2021	4	16	20	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2022	4	13	17	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2023	4	15	19	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2024	4	12	16	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2025	4	12	16	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000
2026	4	17	21	\$182,152	\$1,817,848	\$2,000,000

Source: CPA, REMI, Wind Tex Energy, LLC

The statewide average ad valorem tax base for school districts in Texas was \$1.6 billion in 2010. Borden County ISD's ad valorem tax base in 2010 was \$837.9 million. The statewide average wealth per WADA was estimated at \$345,067 for fiscal 2010-2011. During that same year, Borden County ISD's estimated wealth per WADA was \$2,153,696. The impact on the facilities and finances of the district are presented in Attachment 2.

Table 2 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district and Borden County, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from Wind Tex Energy's application. Wind Tex Energy has applied for both a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with Borden County. Table 3 illustrates the estimated tax impact of the Wind Tex Energy project on the region if all taxes are assessed.

Year	Estimated Taxable value for I&S	Estimated Taxable value for M&O	Tax Rate <sup>1</sup>	Borden County ISD I&S Levy	Borden County ISD M&O Levy	Borden County ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies (Before Credit Credited)	Borden County ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies (After Credit Credited)	Borden County Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes
				<b>0.2800</b>	<b>1.0400</b>			<b>0.2680</b>	
2012	\$6,550,000	\$6,550,000		\$18,340	\$68,120	\$86,460	\$86,460	\$17,554	\$104,014
2013	\$183,010,200	\$183,010,200		\$512,429	\$1,903,306	\$2,415,735	\$2,415,735	\$0	\$2,415,735
2014	\$175,692,050	\$30,000,000		\$491,938	\$312,000	\$803,938	\$803,938	\$0	\$803,938
2015	\$168,666,600	\$30,000,000		\$472,266	\$312,000	\$784,266	\$556,937	\$0	\$556,937
2016	\$161,922,000	\$30,000,000		\$453,382	\$312,000	\$765,382	\$538,052	\$0	\$538,052
2017	\$155,444,400	\$30,000,000		\$435,244	\$312,000	\$747,244	\$519,915	\$0	\$519,915
2018	\$146,119,700	\$30,000,000		\$409,135	\$312,000	\$721,135	\$493,806	\$0	\$493,806
2019	\$137,354,400	\$30,000,000		\$384,592	\$312,000	\$696,592	\$469,263	\$0	\$469,263
2020	\$129,115,000	\$30,000,000		\$361,522	\$312,000	\$673,522	\$446,193	\$0	\$446,193
2021	\$121,369,800	\$30,000,000		\$339,835	\$312,000	\$651,835	\$424,506	\$0	\$424,506
2022	\$114,089,200	\$114,089,200		\$319,450	\$1,186,528	\$1,505,977	\$1,505,977	\$0	\$1,505,977
2023	\$107,245,500	\$107,245,500		\$300,287	\$1,115,353	\$1,415,641	\$1,415,641	\$287,418	\$1,703,059
2024	\$100,812,300	\$100,812,300		\$282,274	\$1,048,448	\$1,330,722	\$1,330,722	\$270,177	\$1,600,899
2025	\$94,765,000	\$94,765,000		\$265,342	\$985,556	\$1,250,898	\$1,250,898	\$253,970	\$1,504,868
2026	\$89,080,500	\$89,080,500		\$249,425	\$926,437	\$1,175,863	\$1,175,863	\$238,736	\$1,414,598
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,433,905</b>	<b>\$1,067,855</b>	<b>\$14,501,759</b>

Assumes School Value Limitation and Tax Abatement from Borden County.

Source: CPA, Wind Tex Energy, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Year	Estimated Taxable value for I&S	Estimated Taxable value for M&O	Tax Rate <sup>1</sup>	Borden County ISD I&S Levy	Borden County ISD M&O Levy	Borden County ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies	Borden County Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes	
				<b>0.2800</b>	<b>1.0400</b>		<b>0.2680</b>		
2012	\$6,550,000	\$6,550,000		\$18,340	\$68,120	\$86,460	\$17,554	\$104,014	
2013	\$183,010,200	\$183,010,200		\$512,429	\$1,903,306	\$2,415,735	\$490,467	\$2,906,202	
2014	\$175,692,050	\$175,692,050		\$491,938	\$1,827,197	\$2,319,135	\$470,855	\$2,789,990	
2015	\$168,666,600	\$168,666,600		\$472,266	\$1,754,133	\$2,226,399	\$452,026	\$2,678,426	
2016	\$161,922,000	\$161,922,000		\$453,382	\$1,683,989	\$2,137,370	\$433,951	\$2,571,321	
2017	\$155,444,400	\$155,444,400		\$435,244	\$1,616,622	\$2,051,866	\$416,591	\$2,468,457	
2018	\$146,119,700	\$146,119,700		\$409,135	\$1,519,645	\$1,928,780	\$391,601	\$2,320,381	
2019	\$137,354,400	\$137,354,400		\$384,592	\$1,428,486	\$1,813,078	\$368,110	\$2,181,188	
2020	\$129,115,000	\$129,115,000		\$361,522	\$1,342,796	\$1,704,318	\$346,028	\$2,050,346	
2021	\$121,369,800	\$121,369,800		\$339,835	\$1,262,246	\$1,602,081	\$325,271	\$1,927,352	
2022	\$114,089,200	\$114,089,200		\$319,450	\$1,186,528	\$1,505,977	\$305,759	\$1,811,736	
2023	\$107,245,500	\$107,245,500		\$300,287	\$1,115,353	\$1,415,641	\$287,418	\$1,703,059	
2024	\$100,812,300	\$100,812,300		\$282,274	\$1,048,448	\$1,330,722	\$270,177	\$1,600,899	
2025	\$94,765,000	\$94,765,000		\$265,342	\$985,556	\$1,250,898	\$253,970	\$1,504,868	
2026	\$89,080,500	\$89,080,500		\$249,425	\$926,437	\$1,175,863	\$238,736	\$1,414,598	
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$24,964,324</b>	<b>\$5,068,514</b>	<b>\$30,032,838</b>

Source: CPA, Wind Tex Energy, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Attachment 1 includes schedules A, B, C, and D provided by the applicant in the application. Schedule A shows proposed investment. Schedule B is the projected market value of the qualified property. Schedule C contains employment information, and Schedule D contains tax expenditures and other tax abatement information.

Attachment 2, provided by the district and reviewed by the Texas Education Agency, contains information relating to the financial impact of the proposed project on the finances of the district as well as the tax benefit of the value limitation. "Table 5" in this attachment shows the estimated 15 year M&O tax levy without the value limitation agreement would be \$19,668,861. The estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit, or levy loss, is \$11,530,419.

Attachment 3 is an economic overview of Borden County.

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

# Attachments

1. Schedules A, B, C, and D provided by applicant in application
2. School finance and tax benefit provided by district
3. County Economic Overview

# **Attachment 1**

Schedule A (Rev. May 2010): Investment

Form 50-296

Applicant Name: Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC  
 ISD Name: Borden County ISD

PROPERTY INVESTMENT AMOUNTS

(Estimated investment in each year. Do not put cumulative totals.)

Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year below) YYYY	Column A: Tangible Personal Property (The amount of new investment (original cost) placed in service during this year)	Column B: Building or permanent nonremovable component of building (annual amount only)	Column C: Sum of A and B Qualifying Investment (during the qualifying time period)	Column D: Other investment that is not qualified investment but investment affecting economic impact and total value	Column E: Total Investment (A+B+D)	
The year preceding the first complete tax year of the qualifying time period (assuming no deferrals)	Investment made before filing complete application with district (neither qualified property nor eligible to become qualified investment)		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	
	Investment made after filing complete application with district, but before final board approval of application (eligible to become qualified property)	2011	\$ 4,694,969.00	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 4,694,969	
	Investment made after final board approval of application and before Jan. 1 of first complete tax year of qualifying time period (qualified investment and eligible to become qualified property)							
Tax Credit Period (with 50% cap on credit)	Complete tax years of qualifying time period		\$ 8,255,031.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 8,405,031	\$ -	\$ 8,405,031	
	Value Limitation Period	1	2012-2013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		2	2013-2014	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		3	2014-2015	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		4	2015-2016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		5	2016-2017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		6	2017-2018	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		7	2018-2019	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		8	2019-2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		9	2020-2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		10	2021-2022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		11	2022-2023	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		12	2023-2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		13	2024-2025	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		14	2025-2026	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15		2026-2027	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Credit Settle-Up Period	Continue to Maintain Viable Presence							
	Post-Settle-Up Period							
Qualifying Time Period usually begins with the final board approval of the application and extends generally for the following two complete tax years.	Post-Settle-Up Period							
	Post-Settle-Up Period							

Column A: This represents the total dollar amount of planned investment in tangible personal property the applicant considers qualified investment - as defined in Tax Code §313.02(1)(A)-(D). For the purposes of investment, please list amount invested each year, not cumulative totals.

Column B: The total dollar amount of planned investment each year in buildings or nonremovable component of buildings that the applicant considers qualified investment under Tax Code §313.021(1)(E).

Column D: Dollar value of other investment that may not be qualified investment but that may affect economic impact and total value for planning, construction and operation of the facility. The most significant example for many projects would be land. Other examples may be items such as professional services, etc. Note: Land can be listed as part of investment during the "pre-year 1" time period. It cannot be part of qualifying investment.

Notes: For advanced clean energy projects, nuclear projects, projects with deferred qualifying time periods, and projects with lengthy application review periods, insert additional rows as needed. This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application, replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.

Signature:  DATE: Aug 5, 2011

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

**Schedule B (Rev. May 2010): Estimated Market And Taxable Value**  
**Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC**

Form 50-296

Applicant Name  
 ISD Name

Borden County ISD

Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year) YYYY	Qualified Property			Reductions from Market Value	Estimated Taxable Value	
			Estimated Market Value of Land	Estimated Total Market Value of new buildings or other new improvements	Estimated Total Market Value of tangible personal property in the new building or "in or on the new improvement"		Final taxable value for I&S - after all reductions	Final taxable value for M&O--after all reductions
pre-year 1	2011-2012	2011	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
1	2012-2013	2012	\$ -	\$ 6,475,000	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 6,550,000	\$ 6,550,000
2	2013-2014	2013	\$ -	\$ 182,860,200	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 183,010,200	\$ 183,010,200
3	2014-2015	2014		\$ 175,545,800	\$ 146,250	\$ -	\$ 175,692,050	\$ 30,000,000
4	2015-2016	2015		\$ 168,524,000	\$ 142,600	\$ -	\$ 168,666,600	\$ 30,000,000
5	2016-2017	2016		\$ 161,783,000	\$ 139,000	\$ -	\$ 161,922,000	\$ 30,000,000
6	2017-2018	2017		\$ 155,311,700	\$ 132,700	\$ -	\$ 155,444,400	\$ 30,000,000
7	2018-2019	2018		\$ 145,993,000	\$ 126,700	\$ -	\$ 146,119,700	\$ 30,000,000
8	2019-2020	2019		\$ 137,233,400	\$ 121,000	\$ -	\$ 137,354,400	\$ 30,000,000
9	2020-2021	2020		\$ 128,999,400	\$ 115,600	\$ -	\$ 129,115,000	\$ 30,000,000
10	2021-2022	2021		\$ 121,259,400	\$ 110,400	\$ -	\$ 121,369,800	\$ 30,000,000
11	2022-2023	2022		\$ 113,983,800	\$ 105,400	\$ -	\$ 114,089,200	\$ 114,089,200
12	2023-2024	2023		\$ 107,144,800	\$ 100,700	\$ -	\$ 107,245,500	\$ 107,245,500
13	2024-2025	2024		\$ 100,716,100	\$ 96,200	\$ -	\$ 100,812,300	\$ 100,812,300
14	2025-2026	2025		\$ 94,673,100	\$ 91,900	\$ -	\$ 94,765,000	\$ 94,765,000
15	2026-2027	2026		\$ 88,992,700	\$ 87,800	\$ -	\$ 89,080,500	\$ 89,080,500

Notes: Market value in future years is good faith estimate of future taxable value for the purposes of property taxation. This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application, replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.

*[Signature]*

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

11/13/2011

DATE

**Schedule C- Application: Employment Information**

Applicant Name: Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC  
 ISD Name: Borden County ISD

Form 50-296

	Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year) YYYY	Construction		New Jobs		Qualifying Jobs	
				Column A: Number of Construction FTE's or man-hours (specify) [FTE]	Column B: Average annual wage rates for construction workers	Column C: Number of new jobs applicant commits to create (cumulative)	Column D: Average annual wage rate for all new jobs.	Column E: Number of qualifying jobs applicant commits to create meeting all criteria of Sec. 313.021(3) (cumulative)	Column F: Average annual wage of qualifying jobs
	pre-year 1	2011-2012	2011	30	\$ 57,000	0	\$ -	0	\$ -
Complete tax years of qualifying time period	1	2012-2013	2012	75	\$ 57,000	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	2	2013-2014	2013	-	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	3	2014-2015	2014	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	4	2015-2016	2015	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	5	2016-2017	2016	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
Tax Credit Period (with 50% cap on credit)	6	2017-2018	2017	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	7	2018-2019	2018	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	8	2019-2020	2019	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	9	2020-2021	2020	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	10	2021-2022	2021	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
Credit Settle-Up Period	11	2022-2023	2022	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	12	2023-2024	2023	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	13	2024-2025	2024	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
Post-Settle-Up Period	14	2025-2026	2025	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538
	15	2026-2027	2026	0	\$ -	4	\$ 45,538	4	\$ 45,538

Notes: For job definitions see TAC §9.1051(14) and Tax Code §313.021(3).

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application, replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.

*[Signature]*

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

Aug 5, 2011  
DATE

Schedule D: (Rev. May 2010): Other Tax Information

Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC

ISD Name

Borden County ISD

Form 50-296

Applicant Name	Sales Tax Information			Other Property Tax Abatements Sought					
	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax/Calendar Year YYYY	Column F: Estimate of total annual expenditures* subject to state sales tax	Column G: Estimate of total annual expenditures* made in Texas NOT subject to sales tax	Franchise Tax	County	City	Hospital	Other
The year preceding the first complete tax year of the qualifying time period (assuming no deferrals)		2011-2012	\$ 4,694,969	\$ 8,405,000	Column H: Estimate of Franchise tax due from (or attributable to) the applicant	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2012-2013	\$ 12,280,700	\$ 177,947,278		0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2013-2014	\$ -	\$ -		100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2014-2015	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2015-2016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2016-2017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2017-2018	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2018-2019	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2019-2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2020-2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2021-2022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2022-2023	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2023-2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2024-2025	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		2025-2026	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2026-2027	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Complete tax years of qualifying time period									
Value Limitation Period									
Tax Credit Period (with 50% cap on credit)									
Credit Settle-Up Period									
Post-Settle-Up Period									
Post-Settle-Up Period									

\*For planning, construction and operation of the facility.

*[Signature]*

Aug 5, 2011

DATE

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

# **Attachment 2**



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 North Congress Ave. • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • [www.tea.state.tx.us](http://www.tea.state.tx.us)

Robert Scott  
Commissioner

October 14, 2011

Mr. Robert Wood  
Director, Economic Development and Analysis  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building  
111 East 17th Street  
Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

As required by the Tax Code, §313.025 (b-1), the Texas Education Agency (TEA) has evaluated the impact of the proposed Wind Tex Energy - Stephens LLC project on the number and size of school facilities in Borden County Independent School District (BCISD). Based on the analysis prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates for the school district and a conversation with the BCISD superintendent, Mr. Jimmy Thomas, the TEA has found that the Wind Tex Energy - Stephens LLC project would not have a significant impact on the number or size of school facilities in BCISD.

Please feel free to contact Al McKenzie, manager of forecasting, facilities, and transportation, by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at [al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us](mailto:al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us) if you need further information regarding this issue.

Sincerely,

Belinda Dyer  
Division Manager  
Office of School Finance

BD/hd

October 14, 2011

Mr. Robert Wood  
Director, Economic Development and Analysis  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building  
111 East 17th Street  
Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

The Texas Education Agency has analyzed the revenue gains that would be realized by the proposed Wind Tex Energy - Stephens LLC project for the Borden County Independent School District (BCISD). Projections prepared by our Office of School Finance confirm the analysis that was prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates and provided to us by your division. We believe their assumptions regarding the potential revenue gain are valid, and their estimates of the impact of the Wind Tex Energy - Stephens LLC project on BCISD are correct.

Please feel free to contact Al McKenzie, manager of forecasting, facilities, and transportation, by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at [al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us](mailto:al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us) if you need further information regarding this issue.

Sincerely,



Belinda Dyer  
Division Manager  
Office of School Finance

BD/hd

**SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED WIND  
TEX ENERGY - STEPHENS, LLC PROJECT ON THE FINANCES OF  
THE BORDEN COUNTY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT  
UNDER A REQUESTED CHAPTER 313 PROPERTY VALUE  
LIMITATION**

**September 13, 2011**

**Final Report**

**PREPARED BY**



# **Estimated Impact of the Proposed Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project on the Finances of the Borden County Independent School District under a Requested Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation**

## **Introduction**

Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC (Wind Tex) has requested that the Borden County Independent School District (BCISD) consider granting a property value limitation under Chapter 313 of the Tax Code for a new renewable electric wind generation project. An application was submitted to BCISD on August 5, 2011. Wind Tex proposes to invest \$191 million to construct a new wind energy project in BCISD.

The Wind Tex project is consistent with the state's goal to "encourage large scale capital investments in this state." When enacted as House Bill 1200 in 2001, the original language in Chapter 313 of the Tax Code made companies engaged in manufacturing, research and development, and renewable electric energy production eligible to apply to school districts for property value limitations. Subsequent legislative changes expanded eligibility to clean coal projects, nuclear power generation and data centers, among others.

## **School Finance Mechanics**

Under the provisions of Chapter 313, BCISD may offer a minimum value limitation of \$30 million. Based on the application, the qualifying time period would begin with the 2012-13 school year. The full value of the investment is expected to reach \$183 million in 2013-14, with depreciation expected to reduce the taxable value of the project over the course of the value limitation agreement.

The provisions of Chapter 313 call for the project to be fully taxable in the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years, unless the District and the Company agree to an extension of the start of the qualifying time period. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that the qualifying time period will be the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years. Beginning in 2014-15, the project would go on the local tax roll at \$30 million and remain at that level of taxable value for eight years for maintenance and operations taxes. The full taxable value of the project could be assessed for debt service taxes on voter-approved bond issues throughout the limitation period, with BCISD currently levying a \$0.280 I&S tax rate.

Under the current school finance system, the property values established by the Comptroller's Office that are used to calculate state aid and recapture lag by one year, a practical consequence of the fact that the Comptroller's Office needs this time to conduct their property value study and now the planned audits of appraisal district operations in alternating years. A taxpayer receiving a value limitation pays M&O taxes on the reduced value for the project in years 3-10 and receives a tax bill for I&S taxes based on the full project value throughout the qualifying and value limitation period (and thereafter). The school funding formulas use the Comptroller's property values that reflect a reduction due to the property value limitation in years 4-11 as a result of the one-year lag in property values.

For the school finance system that operated prior to the approval of House Bill 1 (HB 1) in the 2006 special session, the third year was typically problematical for a school district that approved a Chapter 313 value limitation. This typically resulted in a revenue loss to the school district in the third year of the agreement that would not be reimbursed by the state, but require some type of compensation from the applicant in the revenue protection provisions of the agreement. In years 4-10, smaller revenue losses would be anticipated when the state property values are aligned at the minimum value established by the Board on both the local tax roll and the corresponding state property value study, assuming a similar deduction is made in the state property values.

Under the HB 1 system, most school districts received additional state aid for tax reduction (ASATR) that was used to maintain their target revenue amounts established at the revenue levels under old law for the 2005-06 or 2006-07 school years, whichever was highest. In terms of new Chapter 313 property value limitation agreements, adjustments to ASATR funding often moderated the impact of the reduced M&O collections as a result of the limitation, in contrast with the earlier formula-driven finance system.

In the case of HB 3646—the school finance system changes approved by the Legislature in 2009—the starting point was the target revenue provisions from HB 1, that were then expanded through the addition of a series of school funding provisions that had operated previously outside the basic allotment and the traditional formula structure, as well as an additional \$120 per WADA guarantee.

Under the provisions of HB 3646, school districts did have the potential to earn revenue above the \$120 per WADA level, up to a maximum of \$350 per WADA above current law. Initial estimates indicate that about 70 percent of all school districts were funded at the minimum \$120 per WADA level, while approximately 30 percent school districts were expected to generate higher revenue amounts per WADA in the 2009-10 school year. This is significant because changes in property values and related tax collections under a Chapter 313 agreement once again have the potential to affect a school district's base revenue, although probably not to the degree experienced prior to the HB 1 target revenue system.

The formula reductions enacted under Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) as approved in the First Called Session in 2011 are designed to make \$4 billion in reductions to the existing school funding formulas for the 2011-12 and 2012-13 school years. For the 2011-12 school year, across-the-board reductions were made that reduced each district's WADA count and resulted in an estimated 797 school districts still receiving ASATR to maintain their target revenue funding levels, while an estimated 227 districts operating directly on the state formulas.

For the 2012-13 school year, the SB 1 changes called for smaller across-the-board reductions and funding ASATR-receiving target revenue districts at 92.35 percent of the level provided for under the existing funding formula. For the 2013-14 school year and beyond, the ASATR reduction percentage will be set in the appropriations bill. The recent legislative session also saw the adoption of a statement of legislative intent to no longer fund target revenue (through ASATR) by the 2017-18 school year.

One key element in any analysis of the school finance implications is the provision for revenue protection in the agreement between the school district and the applicant. In the case of the Wind Tex project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect in

each of those years. This meets the statutory requirement under Section 313.027(f) (1) of the Tax Code to provide school district revenue protection language in the agreement.

### **Underlying Assumptions**

There are several approaches that can be used to analyze the future revenue stream of a school district under a value limitation. Whatever method is used, a reasonable analysis requires the use of a multi-year forecasting model that covers the years in which the agreement is in effect. The Chapter 313 application now requires 15 years of data and analysis on the project being considered for a property value limitation.

The approach used here is to maintain static enrollment and property values in order to isolate the effects of the value limitation under the school finance system. The current SB 1 reductions are reflected in the underlying models. With regard to ASATR funding the 92.35 percent reduction enacted for the 2012-13 school year and thereafter, future changes are dependent on legislative action that is difficult to forecast. While there is a statement of intent to no longer fund target revenue by the 2017-18 school year, implementing this change will require future legislative action, with any changes coming through the appropriations process, statutory changes, or both. An earlier value limitation agreement for Bull Creek Wind is factored into the base model used here, although the impact of the proposed Wind Tex project is isolated separately and the focus of this analysis.

Student enrollment counts are held constant at 216 students in average daily attendance (ADA) in analyzing the effects of the Wind Tex project on the finances of BCISD. The District's local tax base reached \$686.5 billion for the 2011 tax year. While the district's tax base has experienced volatility in recent years due largely to changes in mineral values, the underlying \$686.5 million taxable value for 2011-12 is maintained for the forecast period in order to isolate the effects of the property value limitation. BCISD is a property-wealthy district, with wealth per weighted ADA or WADA of approximately \$2,027,969 for the 2011-12 school year. These assumptions are summarized in Table 1.

### **School Finance Impact**

A baseline model was prepared for BCISD under the assumptions outlined above through the 2025-26 school year. Beyond the 2010-11 school year, no attempt was made to forecast the 88<sup>th</sup> percentile or Austin yield that influences future state funding, although BCISD is at a wealth level where this factor has no impact. In the analyses for other districts and applicants on earlier projects, these changes appeared to have little impact on the revenue associated with the implementation of the property value limitation, since the baseline and other models incorporate the same underlying assumptions.

Under the proposed agreement, a second model is established to make a calculation of the "Baseline Revenue" by adding the value of the proposed Wind Tex facility to the model, but without assuming that a value limitation is approved. The results of the model are shown in Table 2.

A third model is developed which adds the Wind Tex value but imposes the proposed property value limitation effective in the third year, which in this case is the 2014-15 school year. The results of this model are identified as "Value Limitation Revenue Model" under the revenue

protection provisions of the proposed agreement (see Table 3). An M&O tax rate of \$1.04 is used throughout this analysis.

A summary of the differences between these models is shown in Table 4. The model results show approximately \$5 million a year in net General Fund revenue, after recapture and other adjustments have been made.

Under these assumptions, BCISD would experience a revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2014-15 school year (-\$92,050). The revenue reduction results from the mechanics of the six cents not subject to recapture. This factor accounts for a recurring revenue loss for all eight years that the value limitation is in effect.

As noted previously, no attempt was made to forecast further reductions in ASATR funding beyond the 92.35 percent adjustment adopted for the 2012-13 school year. One risk factor under the estimates presented here relates to the implementation of the value limitation in the 2014-15 school year. The formula loss of \$92,050 cited above between the base and the limitation models is based on an assumption of \$1.5 million in M&O tax savings for Wind Tex when the \$30 million limitation is implemented. Under the estimates presented here and as highlighted in Table 4, a \$1.0 million reduction in recapture costs is expected to offset most of this reduction in M&O tax collections. In addition, a \$332,589 increase in ASATR funding is calculated under the assumptions used here.

Given that the ASATR amount falls below the anticipated tax savings for the project in the first year of implementation of the agreement, there is no financial risk to the District as a result of the adoption of the value limitation agreement in response to future legislative changes in ASATR funding. But significant or complete elimination of ASATR funding could reduce the residual tax savings in the first year that the \$30 million value limitation takes effect. The estimates for the 2016-17 school year and thereafter show the offset coming almost entirely from reductions in the amount of recapture that would be owed by BCISD.

Outside of the consideration of the value limitation, BCISD has considerable exposure to changes in ASATR funding. The District has base target revenue of \$12,413 per WADA in 2011-12, compared with the state average of \$5,182 per WADA. Even with the value limitation in place, the estimates in Table 3 show ASATR funding that averages approximately \$2 million per year over the forecast period. The revenue protection provisions of the agreement cover only the revenue losses associated with adoption of the value limitation, not major changes in state policy with regard to state funding.

The Comptroller's Property Tax Assistance Division announced recently that it would be adopting a rule this fall that would implement the use of two values for Chapter 313 school districts for its 2011 state property value study. These are the state values that will be used to calculate state aid and recapture in the 2012-13 school year.

At the school-district level, a taxpayer benefiting from a property value limitation has two property values assigned by the local appraisal district for their property covered by the limitation: (1) a reduced value for M&O taxes, and (2) the full taxable value for I&S taxes. This situation exists for the eight years that the value limitation is in effect.

Under the property value study conducted by the Comptroller's Office through the 2010 tax year, however, only a single deduction amount was calculated for a property value limitation and the same value is assigned for the M&O and I&S calculations under the school funding formulas.

The result of this interpretation is that a “composite” value for a school district with a Chapter 313 agreement is calculated, by averaging the impact of the value reduction across the M&O and I&S tax levies. Under the Wind Tex request for a value limitation, the 2014 state property value used for the 2015-16 school year would be the first year that this change in the value study would be reflected in funding formula calculations for the new Wind Tex project. The Comptroller’s anticipated change is reflected in the models presented here.

### **Impact on the Taxpayer**

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the proposed property value limitation in terms of the potential tax savings under the property value limitation agreement. The focus of this table is on the M&O tax rate only. As noted previously, the property is fully taxable in the first two years under the agreement. A \$1.04 per \$100 of taxable value M&O rate is assumed in 2011-12 and thereafter.

Under the assumptions used here, the potential tax savings from the value limitation total \$9.9 million over the life of the agreement. In addition, Wind Tex would be eligible for a tax credit for taxes paid on value in excess of the value limitation in each of the first two years. The credit amount is paid out slowly through years 4-10 due to statutory limits on the scale of these payments over these seven years, with catch-up payments permitted in years 11-13. The tax credits are expected to total approximately \$1.6 million over the life of the agreement, with no unpaid tax credits anticipated. The cost of tax credits is to be reimbursed by the state.

The key BCISD revenue losses are associated with the additional six-cent levy not subject to recapture and expected to total approximately \$572,386 over the course of the agreement. The potential net tax benefits are estimated to total \$11.0 million over the life of the agreement. While legislative changes to ASATR funding could increase the hold-harmless amount owed in the 2014-15 school year, there would still be a substantial tax benefit to Wind Tex under the value limitation agreement for the remaining years that the limitation is in effect.

### **Facilities Funding Impact**

The Wind Tex project remains fully taxable for debt services taxes, with BCISD currently levying a \$0.280 I&S rate. The value of the Wind Tex project is expected to depreciate over the life of the agreement and beyond, but full access to the additional value will add to the District’s projected wealth per ADA that is currently well above what is provided for through the state’s facilities program. At its peak taxable value, the project adds 26.7 percent to BCISD’s current tax base, which should assist the District in meeting its debt service obligations.

The Wind Tex project is not expected to affect BCISD in terms of enrollment. Continued expansion of the renewable energy industry could result in additional employment in the area and an increase in the school-age population, but this project is unlikely to have much impact on a stand-alone basis.

### **Conclusion**

The proposed Wind Tex wind energy project enhances the tax base of BCISD. It reflects continued capital investment in renewable electric energy generation, one of the goals of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code, also known as the Texas Economic Development Act.

Under the assumptions outlined above, the potential tax benefits under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$11.0 million over the course of the agreement. This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District. The additional taxable value also enhances the tax base of BCISD in meeting its future debt service obligations.

**Table 1 – Base District Information with Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project Value and Limitation Values**

Year of Agreement	School Year	ADA	WADA	M&O Tax Rate	I&S Tax Rate	CAD Value with Project	CAD Value with Limitation	CPTD with Project	CPTD With Limitation	CPTD Value with Project per WADA	CPTD Value with Limitation per WADA
1	2012-13	216.07	350.32	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$703,036,028	\$703,036,028	\$594,388,409	\$594,388,409	\$1,696,701	\$1,696,701
2	2013-14	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$879,496,228	\$879,496,228	\$600,938,409	\$600,938,409	\$1,549,065	\$1,549,065
3	2014-15	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$872,178,078	\$726,486,028	\$777,398,609	\$750,552,163	\$2,003,934	\$1,934,731
4	2015-16	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$865,152,628	\$726,486,028	\$770,080,459	\$624,388,409	\$1,985,070	\$1,609,513
5	2016-17	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$858,408,028	\$726,486,028	\$763,055,009	\$624,388,409	\$1,966,960	\$1,609,513
6	2017-18	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$851,930,428	\$726,486,028	\$756,310,409	\$624,388,409	\$1,949,574	\$1,609,513
7	2018-19	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$938,411,081	\$822,291,381	\$749,832,809	\$624,388,409	\$1,932,877	\$1,609,513
8	2019-20	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$926,471,620	\$819,117,220	\$836,313,462	\$720,193,762	\$2,155,802	\$1,856,475
9	2020-21	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$915,153,284	\$816,038,284	\$824,374,001	\$717,019,601	\$2,125,025	\$1,848,293
10	2021-22	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$904,421,516	\$813,051,716	\$813,055,665	\$713,940,665	\$2,095,849	\$1,840,356
11	2022-23	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$894,243,945	\$894,243,945	\$802,323,897	\$710,954,097	\$2,068,185	\$1,832,657
12	2023-24	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$884,590,183	\$884,590,183	\$792,146,326	\$792,146,326	\$2,041,950	\$2,041,950
13	2024-25	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$875,431,223	\$875,431,223	\$782,492,564	\$782,492,564	\$2,017,065	\$2,017,065
14	2025-26	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$866,739,936	\$866,739,936	\$773,333,604	\$773,333,604	\$1,993,456	\$1,993,456
15	2026-27	216.07	387.94	\$1.0400	\$0.2800	\$858,490,769	\$858,490,769	\$764,642,317	\$764,642,317	\$1,971,052	\$1,971,052

\*Tier II Yield: \$47.65; AISD Yield: \$59.97; Equalized Wealth: \$476,500 per WADA

**Table 2 – “Baseline Revenue Model”--Project Value Added with No Value Limitation**

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	\$6,758,795	\$54,752	\$2,189,976	\$0	-\$4,507,224	\$562,867	\$0	-\$108,005	\$4,951,160
2	2013-14	\$8,427,486	\$54,752	\$1,698,936	\$0	-\$5,684,875	\$701,834	\$0	-\$135,842	\$5,062,290
3	2014-15	\$8,365,589	\$54,752	\$2,288,637	\$0	-\$6,212,680	\$696,679	\$0	-\$142,773	\$5,050,204
4	2015-16	\$8,298,142	\$77,439	\$2,263,672	\$0	-\$6,142,955	\$691,062	\$0	-\$141,340	\$5,046,021
5	2016-17	\$8,233,390	\$92,564	\$2,246,319	\$0	-\$6,075,976	\$685,670	\$0	-\$139,963	\$5,042,005
6	2017-18	\$8,171,202	\$77,439	\$2,259,273	\$0	-\$6,011,616	\$680,491	\$0	-\$138,640	\$5,038,149
7	2018-19	\$8,987,661	\$92,564	\$2,026,026	\$0	-\$6,609,952	\$748,485	\$0	-\$152,569	\$5,092,214
8	2019-20	\$8,873,494	\$77,439	\$2,290,592	\$0	-\$6,745,226	\$738,977	\$0	-\$153,672	\$5,081,603
9	2020-21	\$8,765,276	\$92,564	\$2,271,943	\$0	-\$6,633,485	\$729,965	\$0	-\$151,374	\$5,074,889
10	2021-22	\$8,662,675	\$77,439	\$2,283,636	\$0	-\$6,527,451	\$721,420	\$0	-\$149,194	\$5,068,525
11	2022-23	\$8,553,274	\$92,564	\$2,267,958	\$0	-\$6,417,498	\$712,310	\$0	-\$146,912	\$5,061,695
12	2023-24	\$8,461,983	\$77,439	\$2,279,637	\$0	-\$6,322,761	\$704,707	\$0	-\$144,967	\$5,056,038
13	2024-25	\$8,375,372	\$92,564	\$2,261,166	\$0	-\$6,232,803	\$697,494	\$0	-\$143,121	\$5,050,672
14	2025-26	\$8,293,183	\$92,564	\$2,257,917	\$0	-\$6,147,366	\$690,649	\$0	-\$141,367	\$5,045,580
15	2026-27	\$8,215,175	\$92,564	\$2,254,767	\$0	-\$6,066,207	\$684,153	\$0	-\$139,702	\$5,040,749

**Table 3-- "Value Limitation Revenue Model"--Project Value Added with Value Limit**

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	\$6,745,121	\$54,752	\$2,194,228	\$0	-\$4,497,803	\$561,728	\$0	-\$107,781	\$4,950,246
2	2013-14	\$8,413,813	\$54,752	\$1,703,142	\$0	-\$5,675,408	\$700,695	\$0	-\$135,617	\$5,061,377
3	2014-15	\$6,966,876	\$54,752	\$2,621,227	\$0	-\$5,146,556	\$580,196	\$0	-\$118,339	\$4,958,155
4	2015-16	\$6,966,876	\$77,439	\$2,203,801	\$0	-\$4,751,817	\$580,196	\$0	-\$112,839	\$4,963,655
5	2016-17	\$6,966,876	\$92,564	\$2,188,676	\$0	-\$4,751,817	\$580,196	\$0	-\$112,839	\$4,963,655
6	2017-18	\$6,966,876	\$77,439	\$2,203,801	\$0	-\$4,751,817	\$580,196	\$0	-\$112,839	\$4,963,655
7	2018-19	\$7,872,856	\$92,564	\$1,920,458	\$0	-\$5,389,580	\$655,645	\$0	-\$127,929	\$5,024,014
8	2019-20	\$7,842,840	\$77,439	\$2,245,760	\$0	-\$5,669,741	\$653,145	\$0	-\$131,628	\$5,017,816
9	2020-21	\$7,813,724	\$92,564	\$2,229,449	\$0	-\$5,639,439	\$650,720	\$0	-\$131,006	\$5,016,012
10	2021-22	\$7,785,482	\$77,439	\$2,243,413	\$0	-\$5,610,036	\$648,368	\$0	-\$130,403	\$5,014,264
11	2022-23	\$8,553,274	\$92,564	\$2,020,400	\$0	-\$6,169,939	\$712,310	\$0	-\$143,463	\$5,065,145
12	2023-24	\$8,461,983	\$77,439	\$2,279,637	\$0	-\$6,322,761	\$704,707	\$0	-\$144,967	\$5,056,038
13	2024-25	\$8,375,372	\$92,564	\$2,261,166	\$0	-\$6,232,803	\$697,494	\$0	-\$143,121	\$5,050,672
14	2025-26	\$8,293,183	\$92,564	\$2,257,917	\$0	-\$6,147,366	\$690,649	\$0	-\$141,367	\$5,045,580
15	2026-27	\$8,215,175	\$92,564	\$2,254,767	\$0	-\$6,066,207	\$684,153	\$0	-\$139,702	\$5,040,749

**Table 4 – Value Limit less Project Value with No Limit**

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	-\$13,673	\$0	\$4,252	\$0	\$9,421	-\$1,139	\$0	\$225	-\$914
2	2013-14	-\$13,673	\$0	\$4,206	\$0	\$9,467	-\$1,139	\$0	\$226	-\$913
3	2014-15	-\$1,398,714	\$0	\$332,589	\$0	\$1,066,124	-\$116,484	\$0	\$24,434	-\$92,050
4	2015-16	-\$1,331,266	\$0	-\$59,871	\$0	\$1,391,137	-\$110,867	\$0	\$28,501	-\$82,366
5	2016-17	-\$1,266,515	\$0	-\$57,644	\$0	\$1,324,158	-\$105,474	\$0	\$27,124	-\$78,350
6	2017-18	-\$1,204,326	\$0	-\$55,472	\$0	\$1,259,799	-\$100,295	\$0	\$25,802	-\$74,494
7	2018-19	-\$1,114,804	\$0	-\$105,567	\$0	\$1,220,372	-\$92,840	\$0	\$24,639	-\$68,201
8	2019-20	-\$1,030,654	\$0	-\$44,832	\$0	\$1,075,485	-\$85,832	\$0	\$22,044	-\$63,788
9	2020-21	-\$951,552	\$0	-\$42,495	\$0	\$994,046	-\$79,244	\$0	\$20,368	-\$58,877
10	2021-22	-\$877,193	\$0	-\$40,223	\$0	\$917,416	-\$73,052	\$0	\$18,791	-\$54,261
11	2022-23	\$0	\$0	-\$247,558	\$0	\$247,558	\$0	\$0	\$3,450	\$3,450
12	2023-24	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2024-25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2025-26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2026-27	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table 5 - Estimated Financial impact of the Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project Property Value Limitation Request Submitted to BCISD at \$1.04 M&O Tax Rate**

Year of Agreement	School Year	Project Value	Estimated Taxable Value	Value Savings	Taxes Before Value Limit	Taxes after Value Limit	Tax Savings @ Projected M&O Rate	Tax Credits for First Two Years Above Limit	Tax Benefit to Company Before Revenue Protection	School District Revenue Losses	Estimated Net Tax Benefits
1	2012-13	\$6,550,000	\$6,550,000	\$0	\$68,120	\$68,120	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2013-14	\$183,010,200	\$183,010,200	\$0	\$1,903,306	\$1,903,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2014-15	\$175,692,050	\$30,000,000	\$145,692,050	\$1,827,197	\$312,000	\$1,515,197	\$0	\$1,515,197	-\$92,050	\$1,423,148
4	2015-16	\$168,666,600	\$30,000,000	\$138,666,600	\$1,754,133	\$312,000	\$1,442,133	\$227,329	\$1,669,462	-\$82,366	\$1,587,096
5	2016-17	\$161,922,000	\$30,000,000	\$131,922,000	\$1,683,989	\$312,000	\$1,371,989	\$227,329	\$1,599,318	-\$78,350	\$1,520,968
6	2017-18	\$155,444,400	\$30,000,000	\$125,444,400	\$1,616,622	\$312,000	\$1,304,622	\$227,329	\$1,531,951	-\$74,494	\$1,457,458
7	2018-19	\$146,119,700	\$30,000,000	\$116,119,700	\$1,519,645	\$312,000	\$1,207,645	\$227,329	\$1,434,974	-\$68,201	\$1,366,774
8	2019-20	\$137,354,400	\$30,000,000	\$107,354,400	\$1,428,486	\$312,000	\$1,116,486	\$227,329	\$1,343,815	-\$63,788	\$1,280,027
9	2020-21	\$129,115,000	\$30,000,000	\$99,115,000	\$1,342,796	\$312,000	\$1,030,796	\$227,329	\$1,258,125	-\$58,877	\$1,199,249
10	2021-22	\$121,369,800	\$30,000,000	\$91,369,800	\$1,262,246	\$312,000	\$950,246	\$227,329	\$1,177,575	-\$54,261	\$1,123,314
11	2022-23	\$114,089,200	\$114,089,200	\$0	\$1,186,528	\$1,186,528	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	2023-24	\$107,245,500	\$107,245,500	\$0	\$1,115,353	\$1,115,353	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2024-25	\$100,812,300	\$100,812,300	\$0	\$1,048,448	\$1,048,448	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2025-26	\$94,765,000	\$94,765,000	\$0	\$985,556	\$985,556	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2026-27	\$89,080,500	\$89,080,500	\$0	\$926,437	\$926,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
					\$19,668,861	\$9,729,748	\$9,939,113	\$1,591,306	\$11,530,419	-\$572,386	\$10,958,033

**Tax Credit for Value Over Limit in First 2 Years**

	Year 1	Year 2	Max Credits
	\$0	\$1,591,306	\$1,591,306
Credits Earned			\$1,591,306
Credits Paid			<u>\$1,591,306</u>
Excess Credits Unpaid			\$0

# Attachment 3

## Borden County

### Population

Total county population in 2010 for Borden County: 640, up 4.7 percent from 2009. State population increased 1.8 percent in the same time period. Borden County was the state's 251rd largest county in population in 2010 and the 3rd fastest growing county from 2009 to 2010. Borden County's population in 2009 was 80.0 percent Anglo (above the state average of 46.7 percent), 0.2 percent African-American (below the state average of 11.3 percent) and 18.0 percent Hispanic (below the state average of 36.9 percent).  
2009 population of the largest cities and places in Borden County:

### Economy and Income

#### *Employment*

August 2011 total employment in Borden County: 483, up 10.8 percent from August 2010. State total employment increased 0.6 percent during the same period.

August 2011 Borden County unemployment rate: 3.8 percent, down from 4.6 percent in August 2010. The statewide unemployment rate for August 2011 was 8.5 percent, up from 8.2 percent in August 2010.

August 2011 unemployment rate in the city of: NA

**(Note: County and state unemployment rates are adjusted for seasonal fluctuations, but the Texas Workforce Commission city unemployment rates are not. Seasonally-adjusted unemployment rates are not comparable with unadjusted rates).**

#### *Income*

Borden County's ranking in per capita personal income in 2009: 25th with an average per capita income of \$41,808, up 14.6 percent from 2008. Statewide average per capita personal income was \$38,609 in 2009, down 3.1 percent from 2008.

#### *Industry*

Agricultural cash values in Borden County averaged \$18.31 million annually from 2007 to 2010. County total agricultural values in 2010 were up 26.8 percent from 2009. Major agriculture related commodities in Borden County during 2010 included:

Sorghum	Cottonseed	Wheat	Cotton	Other Beef
---------	------------	-------	--------	------------

2010 oil and gas production in Borden County: 3.0 million barrels of oil and 3.1 million Mcf of gas. In February 2011, there were 612 producing oil wells and 0 producing gas wells.

### Taxes

#### *Sales Tax - Taxable Sales*

**(County and city taxable sales data for 1st quarter 2011 is currently targeted for release in mid-September 2011).**

*Quarterly (September 2010 through December 2010)*

Taxable sales in Borden County during the fourth quarter 2010: \$129,491.00, up 35.3 percent from the same quarter in 2009.

Taxable sales during the fourth quarter 2010 in the city of: NA

*Annual (2010)*

Taxable sales in Borden County during 2010: \$345,987.00, up 13.8 percent from 2009.

Borden County sent an estimated \$21,624.19 (or 0.00 percent of Texas' taxable sales) in state sales taxes to the state treasury in 2010.

Taxable sales during 2010 in the city of: NA

#### *Sales Tax – Local Sales Tax Allocations*

*Monthly*

Statewide payments based on the sales activity month of July 2011: \$483.96 million, up 10.0 percent from July 2010.

Payments to all cities in Borden County based on the sales activity month of July 2011: NA

Payment based on the sales activity month of July 2011 to the city of: NA

*Annual (2010)*

Statewide payments based on sales activity months in 2010: \$5.77 billion, up 3.3 percent from 2009.

Payments to all cities in Borden County based on sales activity months in 2010: NA

Payment based on sales activity months in 2010 to the city of: NA

#### *Property Tax*

As of January 2009, property values in Borden County: \$1.14 billion, up 10.8 percent from January 2008 values. The property tax base per person in Borden County is \$1,908,268, above the statewide average of \$85,809. About 89.3 percent of the property tax base is derived from oil, gas and minerals.

## State Expenditures

Borden County's ranking in state expenditures by county in fiscal year 2010: 251st. State expenditures in the county for FY2010: \$2.27 million, down 0.2 percent from FY2009.

In Borden County, 5 state agencies provide a total of 15 jobs and \$469,361.00 in annualized wages (as of 4th quarter 2010).

Major state agencies in the county (as of fourth quarter 2010):

- Department of Transportation
- AgriLife Extension Service
- Health & Human Services Commission
- Tarleton State University

## Higher Education

Community colleges in Borden County fall 2010 enrollment:

None.

Borden County is in the service area of the following:

Western Texas College with a fall 2010 enrollment of 2,307 . Counties in the service area include:

- Borden County
- Dickens County
- Fisher County
- Jones County
- Kent County
- Mitchell County
- Nolan County
- Runnels County
- Scurry County
- Stonewall County

Institutions of higher education in Borden County fall 2010 enrollment:

None.

## School Districts

Borden County had 1 school districts with 1 school and 220 students in the 2009-10 school year.

**(Statewide, the average teacher salary in school year 2009-10 was \$48,263. The percentage of students, statewide, meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all 2009-10 TAKS tests was 77 percent.)**

Borden County ISD had 220 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$53,660. The percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 89 percent.