

S U S A N

C O M B S

TEXAS COMPTROLLER *of* PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • AUSTIN, TX 78711-3528



October 1, 2013

Randy Brown  
Superintendent  
Snyder Independent School District  
2901 37<sup>th</sup> St.  
Snyder, Texas 79549

Dear Superintendent Brown:

On August 21, 2013, the Comptroller received the completed application (Application # 309) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313<sup>1</sup>. This application was originally submitted in June 2013 to the Snyder Independent School District (the school district) by Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC (the applicant). This letter presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to make a recommendation to the governing body of the school district as to whether the application should be approved or disapproved using the criteria set out by Section 313.026.

The school district is currently classified as a rural school district in Category 1 according to the provisions of Chapter 313. Therefore, the applicant properly applied under the provisions of Subchapter C, applicable to rural school districts. The amount of proposed qualified investment (\$450 million) is consistent with the proposed appraised value limitation sought (\$30 million). The property value limitation amount noted in this recommendation is based on property values available at the time of application and may change prior to the execution of any final agreement.

The applicant is an active franchise taxpayer in good standing, as required by Section 313.024(a), and is proposing the construction of a wind power electric generation facility in Scurry County, an eligible property use under Section 313.024(b). The Comptroller has determined that the property, as described by the application, meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

After reviewing the application using the criteria listed in Section 313.026, and the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller's recommendation is that this application under Tax Code Chapter 313 be approved.

Our review of the application assumes the truth and accuracy of the statements in the application and that, if the application is approved, the applicant would perform according to the provisions of the agreement reached with the school district. Our recommendation does not address whether the applicant has complied with all Chapter 313 requirements; the school district is responsible for verifying that all requirements of the statute have been fulfilled. Additionally, Section 313.025 requires the school district to only approve an application if the school district finds that the information in the application is true and

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

correct, finds that the applicant is eligible for a limitation and determines that granting the application is in the best interest of the school district and this state. When approving a job waiver requested under Section 313.025(f-1), the school district must also find that the statutory jobs creation requirement exceeds the industry standard for the number of employees reasonably necessary for the operation of the facility. As stated above, the Comptroller's recommendation is prepared by generally reviewing the application and supporting documentation in light of the Section 313.026 criteria and a cursory review of the industry standard evidence necessary to support the waiver of the required number of jobs.

Note that any new building or other improvement existing as of the application review start date of August 21, 2013, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2).

The Comptroller's recommendation is based on the application submitted by the school district and reviewed by the Comptroller. The recommendation may not be used by the school district to support its approval of the property value limitation agreement if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this recommendation is contingent on future compliance with the Chapter 313 and the Texas Administrative Code, with particular reference to the following requirements related to the execution of the agreement:

- 1) The applicant must provide the Comptroller a copy of the proposed limitation on appraised value agreement no later than ten (10) days prior to the meeting scheduled by the school district to consider approving the agreement, so that the Comptroller may review it for compliance with the statutes and the Comptroller's rules as well as consistency with the application;
- 2) The Comptroller must confirm that it received and reviewed the draft agreement and affirm the recommendation made in this letter;
- 3) The school district must approve and execute a limitation agreement that has been reviewed by the Comptroller within a year from the date of this letter; and
- 4) The school district must provide a copy of the signed limitation agreement to the Comptroller within seven (7) days after execution, as required by Section 313.025..

Should you have any questions, please contact Robert Wood, director of Economic Development & Analysis Division, by email at [robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us](mailto:robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us) or by phone at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 3-3973, or direct in Austin at 512-463-3973.

Sincerely,



Martin A. Hubert  
Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Robert Wood

**Economic Impact for Chapter 313 Project**

Applicant	Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Snyder Independent School District
2011-12 Enrollment in School District	2,742
County	Scurry
Total Investment in District	\$450,000,000
Qualified Investment	\$450,000,000
Limitation Amount	\$30,000,000
Number of total jobs committed to by applicant	7*
Number of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	6
Average Weekly Wage of Qualifying Jobs committed to by applicant	\$803
Minimum Weekly Wage Required Tax Code, 313.051(b)	\$803
Minimum Annual Wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$41735
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$75,000,000
Estimated 15 year M&O levy without any limit or credit:	\$38,748,830
Estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit	\$26,795,860
Estimated 15 year M&O tax benefit ( <i>after</i> deductions for estimated school district revenue protection--but not including any deduction for supplemental payments or extraordinary educational expenses):	\$26,649,279
Tax Credits (estimated - part of total tax benefit in the two lines above - appropriated through Foundation School Program)	\$4,174,810
Net M&O Tax (15 years) After Limitation, Credits and Revenue Protection:	\$12,099,551
Tax benefit as a percentage of what applicant would have paid without value limitation agreement (percentage exempted)	68.8%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the limitation	84.4%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the credit.	15.6%
* Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	

This presents the Comptroller's economic impact evaluation of Fluvanna (the project) applying to Snyder Independent School District (the district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026. This evaluation is based on information provided by the applicant and examines the following criteria:

- (1) the recommendations of the comptroller;
- (2) the name of the school district;
- (3) the name of the applicant;
- (4) the general nature of the applicant's investment;
- (5) the relationship between the applicant's industry and the types of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant to the long-term economic growth plans of this state as described in the strategic plan for economic development submitted by the Texas Strategic Economic Development Planning Commission under Section 481.033, Government Code, as that section existed before February 1, 1999;
- (6) the relative level of the applicant's investment per qualifying job to be created by the applicant;
- (7) the number of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant;
- (8) the wages, salaries, and benefits to be offered by the applicant to qualifying job holders;
- (9) the ability of the applicant to locate or relocate in another state or another region of this state;
- (10) the impact the project will have on this state and individual local units of government, including:
  - (A) tax and other revenue gains, direct or indirect, that would be realized during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller; and
  - (B) economic effects of the project, including the impact on jobs and income, during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller;
- (11) the economic condition of the region of the state at the time the person's application is being considered;
- (12) the number of new facilities built or expanded in the region during the two years preceding the date of the application that were eligible to apply for a limitation on appraised value under this subchapter;
- (13) the effect of the applicant's proposal, if approved, on the number or size of the school district's instructional facilities, as defined by Section 46.001, Education Code;
- (14) the projected market value of the qualified property of the applicant as determined by the comptroller;
- (15) the proposed limitation on appraised value for the qualified property of the applicant;
- (16) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each year of the agreement, if the property does not receive a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment and projected tax rates clearly stated;
- (17) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each tax year of the agreement, if the property receives a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment clearly stated;
- (18) the projected effect on the Foundation School Program of payments to the district for each year of the agreement;
- (19) the projected future tax credits if the applicant also applies for school tax credits under Section 313.103; and
- (20) the total amount of taxes projected to be lost or gained by the district over the life of the agreement computed by subtracting the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (17) from the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (16).

### **Wages, salaries and benefits [313.026(6-8)]**

After construction, the project will create 7 jobs when fully operational. 6 jobs will meet the criteria for qualifying jobs as specified in Tax Code Section 313.021(3). According to the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), the regional manufacturing wage for the West Central Texas State Planning Region, where Scurry County is located was \$37,941 in 2012. The annual average manufacturing wage for 2012 for Scurry County is \$57,252. That same year, the county annual average wage for all industries was \$54,808. In addition to a salary of \$41,735, each qualifying position will receive benefits such as: at least 80% of employees of the operator of the Fluvanna Renewable Power Project will be employed in qualifying jobs pursuant to Texas Tax Code 313.024(d). Qualifying jobs will meet the definition of Texas Tax Code Section 313.051(b). Employees will be offered a group health benefit plan for which the operator of the Fluvanna Renewable Power Project will pay at least 80% of the premiums or other charges assessed for employee-only coverage under the plan or be in compliance with the Affordable Care Act. In addition, each qualifying employee will receive area wide competitive 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan, vacation time, sick leave and skills training. The project's total investment is \$450 million, resulting in a relative level of investment per qualifying job of \$75 million.

### **Ability of applicant to locate to another state and [313.026(9)]**

According to Fluvanna's application, "Our management team has a combined 4,100 MW of prior wind turbine purchases and is uniquely qualified to develop and construct wind projects throughout the United States. As such, the development resources necessary to advance the subject 300 MW Fluvanna Renewable Power Project could be redeployed to other renewable energy development projects in other power markets in the United States.... since this Project is still in the early stages of development, further investment could be, if necessary, redeployed to other counties and states competing for similar wind projects."

### **Number of new facilities in region [313.026(12)]**

During the past two years, two projects in the West Central Texas State Planning Region applied for value limitation agreements under Tax Code, Chapter 313.

### **Relationship of applicant's industry and jobs and Texas's economic growth plans [313.026(5)]**

The Texas Economic Development Plan focuses on attracting and developing industries using technology. It also identifies opportunities for existing Texas industries. The plan centers on promoting economic prosperity throughout Texas and the skilled workers that the Fluvanna project requires appear to be in line with the focus and themes of the plan. Texas identified energy as one of six target clusters in the Texas Cluster Initiative. The plan stresses the importance of technology in all sectors of the energy industry.

### **Economic Impact [313.026(10)(A), (10)(B), (11), (13-20)]**

Table 1 depicts Fluvanna's estimated economic impact to Texas. It depicts the direct, indirect and induced effects to employment and personal income within the state. The Comptroller's office calculated the economic impact based on 16 years of annual investment and employment levels using software from Regional Economic Models, Inc. (REMI). The impact includes the construction period and the operating period of the project.

**Table 1: Estimated Statewide Economic Impact of Investment and Employment in Fluvanna**

Year	Employment			Personal Income		
	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total
2013	5	5	10	\$240,000	\$248,000	\$488,000
2014	101	104	205	\$4,841,735	\$7,158,265	\$12,000,000
2015	7	9	16	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2016	7	16	23	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2017	7	21	28	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2018	7	20	27	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2019	7	24	31	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2020	7	22	29	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2021	7	26	33	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2022	7	22	29	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2023	7	22	29	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2024	7	18	25	\$292,145	\$2,707,855	\$3,000,000
2025	7	20	27	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2026	7	11	18	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2027	7	11	18	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000
2028	7	9	16	\$292,145	\$1,707,855	\$2,000,000

Source: CPA, REMI, Fluvanna, LLC

The statewide average ad valorem tax base for school districts in Texas was \$1.72 billion in 2011-2012. Snyder ISD's ad valorem tax base in 2011-2012 was \$2.4 billion. The statewide average wealth per WADA was estimated at \$347,943 for fiscal 2011-2012. During that same year, Snyder ISD's estimated wealth per WADA was \$676,241. The impact on the facilities and finances of the district are presented in Attachment 2.

Table 2 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district, Scurry County, Scurry County Hospital District, and Western Texas College District, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from Fluvanna's application. Fluvanna has applied for both a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and a tax abatement with Scurry County. Table 3 illustrates the estimated tax impact of the Fluvanna project on the region if all taxes are assessed.

Year	Estimated Taxable Value for I&S	Estimated Taxable Value for M&O	Tax Rate <sup>1</sup>	Snyder ISD I&S Levy	Snyder ISD M&O Levy	Snyder ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies (Before Credit Credited)	Snyder ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies (After Credit Credited)	Scurry County Tax Levy	Scurry County Hospital District Tax Levy	Western Texas College District Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes
			0.1240	1.0400				0.3136	0.2100	0.1858	
2014	\$11,250,000	\$11,250,000		\$13.950	\$117,000	\$130,950	\$130,950	\$0	\$23,625	\$20,903	\$154,575
2015	\$431,424,000	\$431,424,000		\$534.966	\$4,486.810	\$5,021.775	\$5,021.775	\$0	\$905.990	\$801.586	\$5,927.766
2016	\$396,910,000	\$30,000,000		\$492.168	\$312,000	\$804.168	\$804.168	\$0	\$833.511	\$737.459	\$1,637.679
2017	\$365,157,000	\$30,000,000		\$452.795	\$312,000	\$764.795	\$382.397	\$0	\$766.830	\$678.462	\$1,149.227
2018	\$335,944,000	\$30,000,000		\$416.571	\$312,000	\$728.571	\$364.285	\$0	\$705.482	\$624.184	\$1,069.768
2019	\$309,068,000	\$30,000,000		\$383.244	\$312,000	\$695.244	\$347.622	\$0	\$649.043	\$574.248	\$996.665
2020	\$284,343,000	\$30,000,000		\$352.585	\$312,000	\$664.585	\$332.293	\$0	\$597.120	\$528.309	\$929.413
2021	\$261,596,000	\$30,000,000		\$324.379	\$312,000	\$636.379	\$318.190	\$0	\$549.352	\$486.045	\$867.541
2022	\$240,668,000	\$30,000,000		\$298.428	\$312,000	\$610.428	\$305.214	\$0	\$505.403	\$447.161	\$810.617
2023	\$221,415,000	\$30,000,000		\$274.555	\$312,000	\$586.555	\$293.277	\$0	\$464.972	\$411.389	\$758.249
2024	\$203,702,000	\$203,702,000		\$252.590	\$2,118.501	\$2,371.091	\$539.560	\$638.809	\$427.774	\$378.478	\$1,606.144
2025	\$187,406,000	\$187,406,000		\$232.383	\$1,949.022	\$2,181.406	\$2,181.406	\$587.705	\$393.553	\$348.200	\$3,162.664
2026	\$172,414,000	\$172,414,000		\$213.793	\$1,793.106	\$2,006.899	\$2,006.899	\$540.690	\$362.069	\$320.345	\$2,909.659
2027	\$158,621,000	\$158,621,000		\$196.690	\$1,649.658	\$1,846.348	\$1,846.348	\$497.435	\$333.104	\$294.718	\$2,676.888
2028	\$145,931,000	\$145,931,000		\$180.954	\$1,517.682	\$1,698.637	\$1,698.637	\$457.640	\$306.455	\$271.140	\$2,462.732
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$16,573,022</b>	<b>\$2,722,280</b>	<b>\$7,824,283</b>	<b>\$6,922,627</b>	<b>\$27,119,585</b>

Assumes School Value Limitation and Tax Abatement from the County.

Source: CPA, Fluvanna, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Year	Estimated Taxable Value for I&S	Estimated Taxable Value for M&O	Tax Rate <sup>1</sup>	Snyder ISD I&S Levy	Snyder ISD M&O Levy	Snyder ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies	Scurry County Tax Levy	Scurry County Hospital District Tax Levy	Western Texas College District Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes	
			0.1240	1.0400			0.3136	0.2100	0.1858		
2014	\$11,250,000	\$11,250,000		\$13.950	\$117,000	\$130,950	\$35.280	\$23,625	\$20,903	\$189,855	
2015	\$431,424,000	\$431,424,000		\$534.966	\$4,486.810	\$5,021.775	\$1,352.946	\$905.990	\$801.586	\$7,280.711	
2016	\$396,910,000	\$396,910,000		\$492.168	\$4,127.864	\$4,620.032	\$1,244.710	\$833.511	\$737.459	\$6,698.253	
2017	\$365,157,000	\$365,157,000		\$452.795	\$3,797.633	\$4,250.427	\$1,145.132	\$766.830	\$678.462	\$6,162.390	
2018	\$335,944,000	\$335,944,000		\$416.571	\$3,493.818	\$3,910.388	\$1,053.520	\$705.482	\$624.184	\$5,669.391	
2019	\$309,068,000	\$309,068,000		\$383.244	\$3,214.307	\$3,597.552	\$969.237	\$649.043	\$574.248	\$5,215.832	
2020	\$284,343,000	\$284,343,000		\$352.585	\$2,957.167	\$3,309.753	\$891.700	\$597.120	\$528.309	\$4,798.572	
2021	\$261,596,000	\$261,596,000		\$324.379	\$2,720.598	\$3,044.977	\$820.365	\$549.352	\$486.045	\$4,414.694	
2022	\$240,668,000	\$240,668,000		\$298.428	\$2,502.947	\$2,801.376	\$754.735	\$505.403	\$447.161	\$4,061.513	
2023	\$221,415,000	\$221,415,000		\$274.555	\$2,302.716	\$2,577.271	\$694.357	\$464.972	\$411.389	\$3,736.600	
2024	\$203,702,000	\$203,702,000		\$252.590	\$2,118.501	\$2,371.091	\$638.809	\$427.774	\$378.478	\$3,437.675	
2025	\$187,406,000	\$187,406,000		\$232.383	\$1,949.022	\$2,181.406	\$587.705	\$393.553	\$348.200	\$3,162.664	
2026	\$172,414,000	\$172,414,000		\$213.793	\$1,793.106	\$2,006.899	\$540.690	\$362.069	\$320.345	\$2,909.659	
2027	\$158,621,000	\$158,621,000		\$196.690	\$1,649.658	\$1,846.348	\$497.435	\$333.104	\$294.718	\$2,676.888	
2028	\$145,931,000	\$145,931,000		\$180.954	\$1,517.682	\$1,698.637	\$457.640	\$306.455	\$271.140	\$2,462.732	
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$43,368,882</b>	<b>\$11,684,262</b>	<b>\$7,824,283</b>	<b>\$6,922,627</b>	<b>\$62,877,428</b>

Source: CPA, Fluvanna, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Attachment 1 includes schedules A, B, C, and D provided by the applicant in the application. Schedule A shows proposed investment. Schedule B is the projected market value of the qualified property. Schedule C contains employment information, and Schedule D contains tax expenditures and other tax abatement information.

Attachment 2, provided by the district and reviewed by the Texas Education Agency, contains information relating to the financial impact of the proposed project on the finances of the district as well as the tax benefit of the value limitation. "Table 5" in this attachment shows the estimated 15 year M&O tax levy without the value limitation agreement would be \$38,748,830. The estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit, or levy loss, is \$26,795,860.

Attachment 3 is an economic overview of Scurry County.

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

# Attachments

1. Schedules A, B, C, and D provided by applicant in application
2. School finance and tax benefit provided by district
3. County Economic Overview

# Attachment 1

Schedule A (Rev. January 2013): Investment

Form 50-296

Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC  
Scurry ISD

PROPERTY INVESTMENT AMOUNTS

(Estimated investment in each year. Do not put cumulative totals.)

Applicant Name ISD Name	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year below) YYYY	Column A: Tangible Personal Property The amount of new investment (original cost) placed in service of during this year	Column B: Building or permanent nonremovable component of building (annual amount only)	Column C: Sum of A and B Qualifying investment (during the qualifying time period)	Column D: Other investment that is not qualified investment but investment affecting economic impact and total value	Column E: Total Investment (A+B+D)	
The year preceding the first complete tax year of the qualifying time period (assuming no deferrals)  Investment made before filing complete application with district (neither qualified property nor eligible to become qualified investment)  Investment made after filing complete application with district, but before final board approval of application (eligible to become qualified property)  Investment made after final board approval of application and before Jan. 1 of first complete tax year of qualifying time period (qualified investment and eligible to become qualified property)  Complete tax years of qualifying time period  Value Limitation Period  Continue to Maintain Viable Presence  Post-Settle-Up Period  Post-Settle-Up Period	2013-2014	2013	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	2014-2015	2014	22,500,000	0	22,500,000	0	22,500,000
	2	2015-2016	2015	426,900,000	600,000	427,500,000	0	427,500,000
	3	2016-2017	2016	0	0	0	0	0
	4	2017-2018	2017	0	0	0	0	0
	5	2018-2019	2018	0	0	0	0	0
	6	2019-2020	2019	0	0	0	0	0
	7	2020-2021	2020	0	0	0	0	0
	8	2021-2022	2021	0	0	0	0	0
	9	2022-2023	2022	0	0	0	0	0
	10	2023-2024	2023	0	0	0	0	0
	11	2024-2025	2024	0	0	0	0	0
	12	2025-2026	2025	0	0	0	0	0
	13	2026-2027	2026	0	0	0	0	0
	14	2027-2028	2027	0	0	0	0	0
15	2028-2029	2028	0	0	0	0	0	

Qualifying Time Period usually begins with the final board approval of the application and extends generally for the following two complete tax years.

Column A: This represents the total dollar amount of planned investment in tangible personal property the applicant considers qualified investment - as defined in Tax Code §313.021(1)(A)-(D).

For the purposes of investment, please list amount invested each year, not cumulative totals.

[For the years outside the qualifying time period, this number should simply represent the planned investment in tangible personal property.]

Include estimates of investment for "replacement" property-property that is part of original agreement but scheduled for probable replacement during limitation period.

The total dollar amount of planned investment each year in buildings or nonremovable component of buildings that the applicant considers qualified investment under Tax Code §313.021(1)(E).

Column B: For the years outside the qualifying time period, this number should simply represent the planned investment in new buildings or nonremovable components of buildings.

Dollar value of other investment that may not be qualified investment but that may affect economic impact and total value-for planning, construction and operation of the facility.

The most significant example for many projects would be land. Other examples may be items such as professional services, etc.

Note: Land can be listed as part of investment during the "pre-year 1" time period. It cannot be part of qualifying investment.

Notes: For advanced clean energy projects, nuclear projects, projects with deferred qualifying time periods, and projects with lengthy application review periods, insert additional rows as needed

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application,

replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter

those amounts for future years.

*[Signature]*

6-3-13

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

DATE

Schedule B (Rev. January 2013): Estimated Market And Taxable Value  
 Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC

Form 50-25a

Applicant Name  
 ISL-LLC

Scurry, ISD

Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year) YYYY	Qualified Property			Reductions from Market Value	Estimated Taxable Value	
			Estimated Market Value of Land	Estimated Total Market Value of new buildings or other new improvements	Estimated Total Market Value of tangible personal property in the new building or "in or on the new improvement"		Final taxable value for M&O - after all reductions	Final taxable value for I&S - after all reductions
pre-year 1	2013-2014	2013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Complete tax years of qualifying time period	1	2014-2015	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,250,000	\$ -	\$ 11,250,000	\$ 11,250,000
	2	2015-2016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 431,424,000	\$ -	\$ 431,424,000	\$ 431,424,000
	3	2016-2017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 396,910,000	\$ -	\$ 396,910,000	\$ 30,000,000
	4	2017-2018	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 365,157,000	\$ -	\$ 365,157,000	\$ 30,000,000
	5	2018-2019	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 335,944,000	\$ -	\$ 335,944,000	\$ 30,000,000
Value Limitation Period	6	2019-2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 309,068,000	\$ -	\$ 309,068,000	\$ 30,000,000
	7	2020-2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 284,343,000	\$ -	\$ 284,343,000	\$ 30,000,000
	8	2021-2022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 261,596,000	\$ -	\$ 261,596,000	\$ 30,000,000
	9	2022-2023	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 240,668,000	\$ -	\$ 240,668,000	\$ 30,000,000
	10	2023-2004	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 221,415,000	\$ -	\$ 221,415,000	\$ 30,000,000
Credit Settle-Up Period	11	2024-2025	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 203,702,000	\$ -	\$ 203,702,000	\$ 203,702,000
	12	2025-2026	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 187,406,000	\$ -	\$ 187,406,000	\$ 187,406,000
	13	2026-2027	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 172,414,000	\$ -	\$ 172,414,000	\$ 172,414,000
Post-Settle-Up Period	14	2027-2028	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 158,621,000	\$ -	\$ 158,621,000	\$ 158,621,000
	15	2028-2029	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 145,931,000	\$ -	\$ 145,931,000	\$ 145,931,000

Notes: Market value in future years is good faith estimate of future taxable value for the purposes of property taxation. This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application, replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.

*[Signature]*  
 6-3-13

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

DATE

**Schedule C- Application: Employment Information**

Applicant Name: Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC  
 ISD Name: Scurry ISD

Form 50-296

	Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax Year (Fill in actual tax year) YYYY	Construction		New Jobs		Qualifying Jobs	
				Column A: Number of Construction FTE's or man-hours (specify)	Column B: Average annual wage rates for construction workers	Column C: Number of new jobs applicant commits to create (cumulative)	Column D: Average annual wage rate for all new jobs.	Column E: Number of qualifying jobs applicant commits to create meeting all criteria of Sec. 313.021(3) (cumulative)	Column F: Average annual wage of qualifying jobs
	pre-year 1	2013-2014	2013	5 FTEs	\$48,000.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Complete tax years of qualifying time period	1	2014-2015	2014	100 FTEs	\$48,000.00	1	\$41,735.00	1	\$41,735.00
	2	2015-2016	2015			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Value Limitation Period	3	2016-2017	2016			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	4	2017-2018	2017			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Tax Credit Period (with 50% csp on credit)	5	2018-2019	2018			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	6	2019-2020	2019			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Credit Settle-Up Period	7	2020-2021	2020			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	8	2021-2022	2021			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Continue to Maintain Viable Presence	9	2022-2023	2022			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	10	2023-2024	2023			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Post-Settle-Up Period	11	2024-2025	2024			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	12	2025-2026	2025			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Post-Settle-Up Period	13	2026-2027	2026			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
	14	2027-2028	2027			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00
Post-Settle-Up Period	15	2028-2029	2028			7	\$41,735.00	6	\$41,735.00

Notes: For job definitions see TAC §9.1051(14) and Tax Code §313.021(3).

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application, replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.



SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

DATE

8-2-13

Schedule D: (Rev. January 2013): Other Tax Information

	Sales Tax Information			Other Property Tax Abatements Sought						
	Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tax/Calendar Year YYYY	Column F: Estimate of total annual expenditures* subject to state sales tax	Column G: Estimate of total annual expenditures* made in Texas NOT subject to sales tax	Franchise Tax	County	City	Hospital	Other
The year preceding the first complete tax year of the qualifying time period (assuming no deferrals)		2013-2014	2013		19,506,150		-	-	-	-
Tax Credit Period (with 50% cap on credit)	1	2014-2015	2014	2,993,850	370,616,850	21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2	2015-2016	2015			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3	2016-2017	2016			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	4	2017-2018	2017			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	5	2018-2019	2018			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	6	2019-2020	2019			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	7	2020-2021	2020			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	8	2021-2022	2021			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	9	2022-2023	2022			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	10	2023-2024	2023			21,000	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	11	2024-2025	2024			21,000	0%	-	-	0%
	12	2025-2026	2025			21,000	0%	-	-	0%
	13	2026-2027	2026			21,000	0%	-	-	0%
	14	2027-2028	2027			21,000	0%	-	-	0%
	15	2028-2029	2028			21,000	0%	-	-	0%

\*For planning, construction and operation of the facility.

6-3-13

*[Signature]*

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

DATE

# **Attachment 2**

October 7, 2013

Mr. Robert Wood  
Director, Economic Development and Analysis  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building  
111 East 17th Street  
Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

As required by the Tax Code, §313.025 (b-1), the Texas Education Agency (TEA) has evaluated the impact of the proposed Fluvanna Renewable Energy LLC project on the number and size of school facilities in Snyder Independent School District (SISD). Based on the analysis prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates for the school district and a conversation with the SISD superintendent, Randy Brown, the TEA has found that the Fluvanna Renewable Energy LLC project would not have a significant impact on the number or size of school facilities in SISD.

Please feel free to contact me by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at [al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us](mailto:al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us) if you need further information about this issue.

Sincerely,



Al McKenzie, Manager  
Foundation School Program Support

AM/rk

October 7, 2013

Mr. Robert Wood  
Director, Economic Development and Analysis  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building  
111 East 17th Street  
Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) has analyzed the revenue gains that would be realized by the proposed Fluvanna Renewable Energy LLC project for the Snyder Independent School District (SISD). Projections prepared by the TEA State Funding Division confirm the analysis that was prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates and provided to us by your division. We believe their assumptions regarding the potential revenue gain are valid, and their estimates of the impact of the Fluvanna Renewable Energy LLC project on SISD are correct.

Please feel free to contact me by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at [al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us](mailto:al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us) if you need further information about this issue.

Sincerely,



Al McKenzie, Manager  
Foundation School Program Support

AM/rk

**SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED FLUVANNA  
RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT, LLC ON THE FINANCES OF THE  
SNYDER INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT UNDER A REQUESTED  
CHAPTER 313 PROPERTY VALUE LIMITATION**

**July 5, 2013**

**Final Report**

**PREPARED BY**



# Estimated Impact of the Proposed Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC on the Finances of the Snyder Independent School District under a Requested Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation

## Introduction

Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC (Fluvanna) has requested that the Snyder Independent School District (SISD) consider granting a property value limitation under Chapter 313 of the Tax Code, also known as the Texas Economic Development Act. In an application submitted to SISD on June 3, 2013, Fluvanna proposes to invest \$430 million to construct a new wind renewable energy electric generation project in SISD.

The Fluvanna project is consistent with the state's goal to "encourage large scale capital investments in this state." When enacted as House Bill 1200 in 2001, Chapter 313 of the Tax Code granted eligibility to companies engaged in manufacturing, research and development, and renewable electric energy production to apply to school districts for property value limitations. Subsequent legislative changes expanded eligibility to clean coal projects, nuclear power generation and data centers, among others.

Under the provisions of Chapter 313, SISD may offer a minimum value limitation of \$30 million. The provisions of Chapter 313 call for the project to be fully taxable in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years, unless the District and the Company agree to an extension of the start of the two-year qualifying time period. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that the qualifying time period will be the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years. Beginning with the 2016-17 school year, the project would go on the local tax roll at \$30 million and remain at that level of taxable value for eight years for maintenance and operations (M&O) taxes.

The full taxable value of the project would be assessed for debt service taxes on voter-approved bond issues throughout the limitation period, with SISD currently levying a \$0.124 per \$100 I&S tax rate. The full taxable value of the investment is expected to reach \$431 million in the 2016-17 school year, approximately a 14 percent increase in the underlying I&S tax base for the District. While depreciation expected to reduce the taxable value of the project over the course of the value limitation agreement and beyond, the tax base increase should assist SISD in meeting its future debt service obligations.

In the case of the Fluvanna project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect. Assuming current law, SISD would experience a modest revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year (-\$146,581). This amount assumes a substantial state aid offset through Additional State Aid for Tax Reduction (ASATR), which will be discussed in detail below. No out-year revenue losses are expected.

Under the assumptions outlined below, the potential tax benefits to Fluvanna under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$26.6 million over the course of the agreement. This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District.

---

## School Finance Mechanics

Under the current school finance system, the property values established by the Comptroller's Office that are used to calculate state aid and recapture lag by one year, a practical consequence of the fact that the Comptroller's Office needs this time to conduct its property value study and the planned audits of appraisal district operations in alternating years. A taxpayer receiving a value limitation pays M&O taxes on the reduced value for the project in years 3-10 and receives a tax bill for l&S taxes based on the full project value throughout the qualifying and value limitation period (and thereafter). The school funding formulas use the Comptroller's property values that reflect a reduction due to the property value limitation in years 4-11 as a result of the one-year lag in property values.

The third year is often problematical financially for a school district that approves a Chapter 313 value limitation. The implementation of the value limitation often results in a revenue loss to the school district in the third year of the agreement that would not be reimbursed by the state, but require some type of compensation from the applicant under the revenue protection provisions of the agreement. In years 4-10, smaller revenue losses would be anticipated when the state M&O property values are aligned at the minimum value established by the Board on both the local tax roll and the corresponding state property value study.

Under the HB 1 system adopted in 2006, most school districts received additional state aid for tax reduction (ASATR) that was used to maintain their target revenue amounts established at the revenue levels under old law for the 2005-06 or 2006-07 school years, whichever was highest. In terms of new Chapter 313 property value limitation agreements, adjustments to ASATR funding often moderated the impact of the reduced M&O collections as a result of the limitation, in contrast with the earlier formula-driven finance system.

House Bill 3646 as enacted in 2009 created more "formula" school districts that were less dependent on ASATR state aid than had been the case previously. The formula reductions enacted during the First Called Session in 2011 made \$4 billion in reductions to the existing school funding formulas for the 2011-12 and 2012-13 school years. For the 2011-12 school year, across-the-board reductions were made that reduced each district's WADA count and resulted in an estimated 781 school districts still receiving ASATR to maintain their target revenue funding levels, while an estimated 243 districts operated directly on the state formulas. For the 2012-13 school year, the changes called for smaller across-the-board reductions and funding ASATR-receiving target revenue districts at 92.35 percent of the level provided for under the existing funding formula, with 689 districts operating on formula and 335 districts still receiving ASATR funding.

Senate Bill 1 and House Bill 1025 as passed by the 83<sup>rd</sup> Legislature made significant increases to the basic allotment and other formula changes by appropriation. The ASATR reduction percentage is increased slightly to 92.63 percent, while the basic allotment will increase by \$325 and \$365, respectively, for the 2013-14 and 2014-15 school years. A slight increase in the guaranteed yield for the 6 cents above the compressed tax rate—known as the Austin yield—is also included. In the case of SISD, the District's tax base exceeds the Austin yield and it is not subject to recapture on its Tier II tax effort. With the basic allotment increase, it is estimated that approximately 300 school districts will still receive ASATR in the 2013-14 school year and 273 districts in the 2014-15 school year.

Despite the shrinkage in the number of districts receiving ASATR funding, these estimates assume that SISD will be receiving ASATR funding until this provision expires with the 2017-18 school year under current law. For the purposes of these estimates, the assumption that ASATR will be in place for the 2016-17 school year has a significant impact on the hold-harmless loss estimated for that year.

One future concern is that the underlying state statutes in the Education Code were not changed in order to provide these funding increases. All of the major formula changes were made by appropriation, which gives them only a two-year lifespan unless renewed in the 2015 legislative session. Despite this uncertainty, it is assumed that these changes will remain in effect for the forecast period for the purpose of these estimates, assuming a continued legislative commitment to these funding levels in future years.

A key element in any analysis of the school finance implications is the provision for revenue protection in the agreement between the school district and the applicant. In the case of the Fluvanna project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect in each of those years. This meets the statutory requirement under Section 313.027(f)(1) of the Tax Code to provide school district revenue protection language in the agreement.

### Underlying Assumptions

There are several approaches that can be used to analyze the future revenue stream of a school district under a value limitation. Whatever method is used, a reasonable analysis requires the use of a multi-year forecasting model that covers the years in which the agreement is in effect. The Chapter 313 application now requires 15 years of data and analysis on the project being considered for a property value limitation.

The general approach used here is to maintain static enrollment and underlying base property values in order to isolate the effects of the value limitation under the school finance system. The SB 1 and HB 1025 basic allotment increases are reflected in the underlying models. With regard to ASATR funding, the 92.63 percent reduction enacted for the 2013-14 school year and thereafter, until the 2017-18 school year. A statement of legislative intent was adopted in 2011 to no longer fund target revenue by the 2017-18 school year and recent legislative action keeps that policy in place. As a result, this change is reflected in the estimates presented below.

Student enrollment counts are held constant at 2,584 students in average daily attendance (ADA) in analyzing the effects of the Fluvanna project on the finances of SISD. The District's local underlying tax base reached \$3.1 billion for the 2012 tax year and is maintained at this level for the forecast period in order to isolate the effects of the property value limitation. The previously-approved Scurry Wind Chapter 313 agreement, which expires with the 2018-19 school year, is factored into the base model. In addition, the projected taxable values of the Fluvanna project are also added into the base model used here to reflect a scenario where the project is fully taxed in the absence of a value limitation agreement. The impact of the limitation value for the proposed Fluvanna project is isolated separately and the focus of this analysis.

An M&O tax rate of \$1.04 per \$100 is used throughout this analysis. SISD has estimated state property wealth per weighted ADA or WADA of approximately \$824,352 for the 2013-14 school year, which exceeds the \$504,000 per WADA equalized wealth level at the compressed tax rate that is used in this analysis. The enrollment and property value assumptions for the 15 years that are the subject of this analysis are summarized in Table 1.

### School Finance Impact

School finance models were prepared for SISD under the assumptions outlined above through the 2028-29 school year. Beyond the 2014-15 school year, no attempt was made to forecast the 88<sup>th</sup> percentile or Austin yield that influence future state funding beyond the projected level for that school year. In the analyses for other districts and applicants on earlier projects, these changes appeared to have little impact on the revenue associated with the implementation of the property value limitation, since the baseline and other models incorporate the same underlying assumptions.

Under the proposed agreement, a model is established to make a calculation of the “Baseline Revenue” by adding the value of the proposed Fluvanna facility to the model, but without assuming that a value limitation is approved. The results of that model are shown in Table 2.

A second model is developed which adds the Fluvanna value but imposes the proposed property value limitation effective in the third year, which in this case is the 2016-17 school year. The results of this model are identified as “Value Limitation Revenue Model” under the revenue protection provisions of the proposed agreement (see Table 3). A summary of the differences between these models is shown in Table 4. Beginning with the 2017-18 school year, the results in Table 4 indicate that reductions in recapture offset the M&O tax reductions associated with the value limitation for the last seven years the \$30 million value limitation is in effect.

Under these assumptions, SISD would experience a modest revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year (-\$146,581). The revenue reduction results from the mechanics of the up to six cents beyond the compressed M&O tax rate that are not subject to recapture, as well as the one-year lag in value associated with the property value study.

As noted previously, no attempt was made to forecast further reductions in ASATR funding beyond the 92.63 percent adjustment adopted for the 2013-14 school year, although it is assumed that ASATR will be eliminated beginning in the 2017-18 school year.

One risk factor under the estimates presented here relates to the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year. The formula loss of \$146,581 cited above between the base and the limitation models is based on an assumption that Fluvanna would see \$3.81 million in M&O tax savings when the value limitation takes effect that year. A \$1.7 million reduction in recapture costs would be expected for the 2016-17 school year, as shown in Table 4. An increase in ASATR funding of \$1.96 million would offset nearly half of the reduction in M&O tax collections when the value limitation takes effect.

In general, the ASATR offset poses little financial risk to the school district as a result of the adoption of the value limitation agreement. But a significant reduction of ASATR funding prior to the assumed 2017-18 school year elimination of these funds could reduce the residual tax savings to Fluvanna in the first year that the \$30 million value limitation takes effect.

The Comptroller's state property value study influences these calculations, as noted previously. At the school-district level, a taxpayer benefiting from a property value limitation has two property values assigned by the local appraisal district for their property covered by the limitation: (1) a reduced value for M&O taxes, and (2) the full taxable value for I&S taxes. This situation exists for the eight years that the value limitation is in effect. Two state property value determinations are made for school districts granting Chapter 313 agreements, consistent with local practice. A consolidated single state property value had been provided previously.

### **Impact on the Taxpayer**

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the proposed property value limitation in terms of the potential tax savings under the property value limitation agreement. The focus of this table is on the M&O tax rate only. As noted previously, the property is fully taxable in the first two years under the agreement. A \$1.04 per \$100 of taxable value M&O rate is assumed in 2012-13 and thereafter.

Under the assumptions used here, the potential tax savings from the value limitation total \$22.6 million over the life of the agreement. In addition, Fluvanna would be eligible for a tax credit for M&O taxes paid on value in excess of the value limitation in each of the first two qualifying years. The credit amount is paid out slowly through years 4-10 due to statutory limits on the scale of these payments over these seven years, with catch-up payments permitted in years 11-13. The tax credits are expected to total approximately \$4.2 million over the life of the agreement, with no unpaid tax credits anticipated. The school district is to be reimbursed by the Texas Education Agency for the cost of these credits.

The key SISD revenue losses are expected to total approximately \$146,581 over the course of the agreement, noting the substantial ASATR offset that would occur under current law. In total, the potential net tax benefits (inclusive of tax credits but after hold-harmless payments are made) are estimated to reach \$26.6 million over the life of the agreement. While legislative changes to ASATR funding could increase the hold-harmless amount owed in the initial year of the agreement, there would still be a substantial tax benefit to Fluvanna under the value limitation agreement for the remaining years that the limitation is in effect.

### **Facilities Funding Impact**

The Fluvanna project remains fully taxable for debt services taxes, with SISD currently levying a \$0.124 per \$100 I&S rate. The value of the Fluvanna project is expected to depreciate over the life of the agreement and beyond, but full access to the additional value is expected to increase the District's projected wealth by as much as 14 percent when the project is at its peak taxable value. This increase will assist SISD in meeting its future debt service needs.

The Fluvanna project is not expected to affect SISD in terms of enrollment. Seven full-time jobs are anticipated when the project becomes operational. Continued expansion of the project and related development could result in additional employment in the area and an increase in the school-age population, but this project is unlikely to have much impact on a stand-alone basis.

### **Conclusion**

The proposed Fluvanna renewable energy electric generation project enhances the tax base of SISD. It reflects continued capital investment in keeping with the goals of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code.

Under the assumptions outlined above, the potential tax savings for the applicant under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$26.6 million. (This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District.) The additional taxable value also enhances the tax base of SISD in meeting its future debt service obligations.

**Table 1 – Base District Information with Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC Project Value and Limitation Values**

Year of Agreement	School Year	ADA	WADA	M&O Tax Rate	I&S Tax Rate	CAD Value with Project	CAD Value with Limitation	CPTD with Project	CPTD With Limitation	CPTD Value with Project per WADA	CPTD Value with Limitation per WADA
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	2,584.37	3,648.00	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,125,177,174	\$3,125,177,174	\$3,007,235,204	\$3,007,235,204	\$824,352	\$824,352
1	2014-15	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,136,427,174	\$3,136,427,174	\$3,007,235,204	\$3,007,235,204	\$824,233	\$824,233
2	2015-16	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,556,601,174	\$3,556,601,174	\$3,018,485,204	\$3,018,485,204	\$827,317	\$827,317
3	2016-17	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,522,087,174	\$3,155,177,174	\$3,438,659,204	\$3,438,659,204	\$942,480	\$942,480
4	2017-18	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,490,334,174	\$3,155,177,174	\$3,404,145,204	\$3,037,235,204	\$933,020	\$832,456
5	2018-19	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,498,824,970	\$3,192,880,970	\$3,372,392,204	\$3,037,235,204	\$924,317	\$832,456
6	2019-20	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,467,209,705	\$3,188,141,705	\$3,380,883,000	\$3,074,939,000	\$926,644	\$842,790
7	2020-21	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,438,077,187	\$3,183,734,187	\$3,349,267,735	\$3,070,199,735	\$917,979	\$841,491
8	2021-22	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,411,231,195	\$3,179,835,195	\$3,320,135,217	\$3,065,792,217	\$909,994	\$840,283
9	2022-23	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,386,491,133	\$3,175,823,133	\$3,293,289,225	\$3,061,693,225	\$902,636	\$839,160
10	2023-24	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,363,692,915	\$3,172,277,915	\$3,268,549,163	\$3,057,881,163	\$895,855	\$838,115
11	2024-25	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,342,682,863	\$3,342,682,863	\$3,245,750,945	\$3,054,335,945	\$889,607	\$837,143
12	2025-26	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,323,320,604	\$3,323,320,604	\$3,224,740,893	\$3,224,740,893	\$883,848	\$883,848
13	2026-27	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,305,476,983	\$3,305,476,983	\$3,205,378,634	\$3,205,378,634	\$878,541	\$878,541
14	2027-28	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,289,031,976	\$3,289,031,976	\$3,187,535,013	\$3,187,535,013	\$873,651	\$873,651
15	2028-29	2,584.37	3,648.52	\$1.0400	\$0.1240	\$3,273,875,610	\$3,273,875,610	\$3,171,090,006	\$3,171,090,006	\$869,143	\$869,143

\*Basic Allotment: \$5,040; AISD Yield: \$61.86; Equalized Wealth: \$504,000 per WADA

**Table 2 – “Baseline Revenue Model” – Project Value Added with No Value Limitation**

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$30,308,658	\$928,836	\$5,702,557	\$0	-\$11,744,360	\$1,210,770	\$0	\$0	\$26,406,463
1	2014-15	\$30,418,914	\$861,946	\$5,372,022	\$0	-\$11,453,588	\$1,215,175	\$0	\$0	\$26,414,468
2	2015-16	\$34,536,825	\$864,878	\$2,929,790	\$0	-\$13,132,200	\$1,379,677	\$0	\$0	\$26,578,970
3	2016-17	\$34,271,957	\$867,855	\$5,639,329	\$0	-\$15,579,848	\$1,369,096	\$0	\$0	\$26,568,389
4	2017-18	\$33,954,410	\$870,879	\$0	\$0	-\$15,248,018	\$1,358,411	\$0	\$0	\$20,933,682
5	2018-19	\$34,031,781	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$15,110,505	\$1,359,502	\$0	\$0	\$21,154,727
6	2019-20	\$33,716,561	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$15,013,335	\$1,346,909	\$0	\$0	\$20,924,084
7	2020-21	\$33,426,103	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$14,709,243	\$1,335,306	\$0	\$0	\$20,926,114
8	2021-22	\$33,158,449	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$14,428,800	\$1,324,814	\$0	\$0	\$20,928,212
9	2022-23	\$32,911,799	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$14,170,166	\$1,314,761	\$0	\$0	\$20,930,342
10	2023-24	\$32,684,514	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,931,653	\$1,305,881	\$0	\$0	\$20,932,490
11	2024-25	\$32,440,321	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,696,648	\$1,295,926	\$0	\$0	\$20,913,547
12	2025-26	\$32,250,561	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,485,353	\$1,288,345	\$0	\$0	\$20,917,502
13	2026-27	\$32,075,685	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,309,711	\$1,281,360	\$0	\$0	\$20,921,282
14	2027-28	\$31,914,516	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,138,511	\$1,274,921	\$0	\$0	\$20,924,875
15	2028-29	\$31,765,976	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$12,980,628	\$1,268,987	\$0	\$0	\$20,928,284

Table 3– “Value Limitation Revenue Model”–Project Value Added with Value Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$30,308,658	\$928,836	\$5,702,557	\$0	-\$11,744,360	\$1,210,770	\$0	\$0	\$26,406,463
1	2014-15	\$30,418,914	\$861,946	\$5,372,022	\$0	-\$11,453,588	\$1,215,175	\$0	\$0	\$26,414,468
2	2015-16	\$34,536,825	\$864,878	\$2,929,790	\$0	-\$13,132,200	\$1,379,677	\$0	\$0	\$26,578,970
3	2016-17	\$30,602,673	\$867,855	\$7,601,514	\$0	-\$13,872,749	\$1,222,516	\$0	\$0	\$26,421,809
4	2017-18	\$30,602,673	\$870,879	\$0	\$0	-\$11,709,817	\$1,222,516	\$0	\$0	\$20,986,250
5	2018-19	\$30,972,189	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$11,855,614	\$1,237,277	\$0	\$0	\$21,227,800
6	2019-20	\$30,925,742	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$12,066,870	\$1,235,422	\$0	\$0	\$20,968,242
7	2020-21	\$30,882,546	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$12,020,998	\$1,233,696	\$0	\$0	\$20,969,193
8	2021-22	\$30,842,374	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$11,976,329	\$1,232,091	\$0	\$0	\$20,970,084
9	2022-23	\$30,805,014	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$11,938,641	\$1,230,599	\$0	\$0	\$20,970,920
10	2023-24	\$30,770,269	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$11,901,725	\$1,229,211	\$0	\$0	\$20,971,703
11	2024-25	\$32,440,321	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$12,544,849	\$1,295,926	\$0	\$0	\$22,065,346
12	2025-26	\$32,250,561	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,495,353	\$1,288,345	\$0	\$0	\$20,917,502
13	2026-27	\$32,075,685	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,309,711	\$1,281,360	\$0	\$0	\$20,921,282
14	2027-28	\$31,914,516	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$13,138,511	\$1,274,921	\$0	\$0	\$20,924,875
15	2028-29	\$31,765,976	\$873,949	\$0	\$0	-\$12,980,628	\$1,268,987	\$0	\$0	\$20,928,284

Table 4 – Value Limit less Project Value with No Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	2014-15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2015-16	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2016-17	-\$3,669,284	\$0	\$1,962,185	\$0	\$1,707,099	-\$146,581	\$0	\$0	-\$146,581
4	2017-18	-\$3,351,737	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,538,200	-\$133,895	\$0	\$0	\$52,568
5	2018-19	-\$3,059,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,254,891	-\$122,225	\$0	\$0	\$73,073
6	2019-20	-\$2,790,819	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,946,465	-\$111,488	\$0	\$0	\$44,158
7	2020-21	-\$2,543,557	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,688,246	-\$101,610	\$0	\$0	\$43,079
8	2021-22	-\$2,316,076	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,450,471	-\$92,523	\$0	\$0	\$41,873
9	2022-23	-\$2,106,785	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,231,525	-\$84,162	\$0	\$0	\$40,578
10	2023-24	-\$1,914,245	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,029,928	-\$76,470	\$0	\$0	\$39,212
11	2024-25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,151,799	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,151,799
12	2025-26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2026-27	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2027-28	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2028-29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table 5 - Estimated Financial impact of the Fluvanna Renewable Energy Project, LLC Project Property Value Limitation Request Submitted to SISD at \$1.04 M&O Tax Rate**

Year of Agreement	School Year	Project Value	Estimated Taxable Value	Value Savings	Assumed M&O Tax Rate	Taxes Before Value Limit	Taxes after Value Limit	Tax Savings @ Projected M&O Rate	Tax Credits for First Two Years Above Limit	Tax Benefit to Company Before Revenue Protection	School District Revenue Losses	Estimated Net Tax Benefits
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1.040	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	2014-15	\$11,250,000	\$11,250,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$117,000	\$117,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2015-16	\$431,424,000	\$431,424,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$4,486,810	\$4,486,810	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2016-17	\$396,910,000	\$30,000,000	\$366,910,000	\$1.040	\$4,127,864	\$312,000	\$3,815,864	\$0	\$3,815,864	-\$146,581	\$3,669,283
4	2017-18	\$365,157,000	\$30,000,000	\$335,157,000	\$1.040	\$3,797,633	\$312,000	\$3,485,633	\$382,397	\$3,868,030	\$0	\$3,868,030
5	2018-19	\$335,944,000	\$30,000,000	\$305,944,000	\$1.040	\$3,493,818	\$312,000	\$3,181,818	\$364,285	\$3,546,103	\$0	\$3,546,103
6	2019-20	\$309,068,000	\$30,000,000	\$279,068,000	\$1.040	\$3,214,307	\$312,000	\$2,902,307	\$347,622	\$3,249,929	\$0	\$3,249,929
7	2020-21	\$284,343,000	\$30,000,000	\$254,343,000	\$1.040	\$2,957,167	\$312,000	\$2,645,167	\$332,293	\$2,977,460	\$0	\$2,977,460
8	2021-22	\$261,596,000	\$30,000,000	\$231,596,000	\$1.040	\$2,720,598	\$312,000	\$2,408,598	\$318,190	\$2,726,788	\$0	\$2,726,788
9	2022-23	\$240,668,000	\$30,000,000	\$210,668,000	\$1.040	\$2,502,947	\$312,000	\$2,190,947	\$305,214	\$2,496,161	\$0	\$2,496,161
10	2023-24	\$221,415,000	\$30,000,000	\$191,415,000	\$1.040	\$2,302,716	\$312,000	\$1,990,716	\$293,277	\$2,283,993	\$0	\$2,283,993
11	2024-25	\$203,702,000	\$203,702,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,118,501	\$2,118,501	\$0	\$1,831,531	\$1,831,531	\$0	\$1,831,531
12	2025-26	\$187,406,000	\$187,406,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$1,949,022	\$1,949,022	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2026-27	\$172,414,000	\$172,414,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$1,793,106	\$1,793,106	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2027-28	\$158,621,000	\$158,621,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$1,649,658	\$1,649,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2028-29	\$145,931,000	\$145,931,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$1,517,682	\$1,517,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						\$38,748,830	\$16,127,779	\$22,621,050	\$4,174,810	\$26,795,860	-\$146,581	\$26,649,279
Tax Credit for Value Over Limit in First 2 Years								Year 1	Year 2	Max Credits		
								\$0	\$4,174,810	\$4,174,810		
								Credits Earned		\$4,174,810		
								Credits Paid		<u>\$4,174,810</u>		
								Excess Credits Unpaid		\$0		

**\*Note:** School District Revenue-Loss estimates are subject to change based on numerous factors, including legislative and Texas Education Agency administrative changes to school finance formulas, year-to-year appraisals of project values, and changes in school district tax rates. One of the most substantial changes to the school finance formulas related to Chapter 313 revenue-loss projections could be the treatment of Additional State Aid for Tax Reduction (ASATR). Legislative intent is to end ASATR in 2017-18 school year. Additional information on the assumptions used in preparing these estimates is provided in the narrative of this Report.

# Attachment 3

## Scurry County

### Population

- Total county population in 2010 for Scurry County: 16,502 , up 0.7 percent from 2009. State population increased 1.8 percent in the same time period.
- Scurry County was the state's 132th largest county in population in 2010 and the 126 th fastest growing county from 2009 to 2010.
- Scurry County's population in 2009 was 57.4 percent Anglo (above the state average of 46.7 percent), 6.1 percent African-American (below the state average of 11.3 percent) and 35.0 percent Hispanic (below the state average of 36.9 percent).
- 2009 population of the largest cities and places in Scurry County:
 

Snyder:	10,565
---------	--------

### Economy and Income

#### *Employment*

- September 2011 total employment in Scurry County: 7,665 , up 1.9 percent from September 2010. State total employment increased 0.9 percent during the same period.  
*(October 2011 employment data will be available November 18, 2011).*
- September 2011 Scurry County unemployment rate: 5.8 percent, down from 6.1 percent in September 2010. The statewide unemployment rate for September 2011 was 8.5 percent, up from 8.2 percent in September 2010.
- September 2011 unemployment rate in the city of:

(Note: County and state unemployment rates are adjusted for seasonal fluctuations, but the Texas Workforce Commission city unemployment rates are not. Seasonally-adjusted unemployment rates are not comparable with unadjusted rates).

#### *Income*

- Scurry County's ranking in per capita personal income in 2009: 95th with an average per capita income of \$34,488, down 8.7 percent from 2008. Statewide average per capita personal income was \$38,609 in 2009, down 3.1 percent from 2008.

#### *Industry*

- Agricultural cash values in Scurry County averaged \$41.86 million annually from 2007 to 2010. County total agricultural values in 2010 were up 116.8 percent from 2009. Major agriculture related commodities in Scurry County during 2010 included:
 

• Hunting	• Cottonseed	• Wheat	• Cotton	• Other Beef
-----------	--------------	---------	----------	--------------
- 2011 oil and gas production in Scurry County: 9.6 million barrels of oil and 22.2 million Mcf of gas. In September 2011, there were 2521 producing oil wells and 0 producing gas wells.

### Taxes

#### *Sales Tax - Taxable Sales*

(County and city taxable sales data for 1st quarter 2011 is currently targeted for release in mid-September 2011).

*Quarterly (September 2010 through December 2010)*

- Taxable sales in Scurry County during the fourth quarter 2010: \$210.79 million, up 286.1 percent from the same quarter in 2009.
- Taxable sales during the fourth quarter 2010 in the city of:
 

Snyder:	\$196.57 million, up 334.6 percent from the same quarter in 2009.
---------	---

*Taxable Sales through the end of 4th quarter 2010 (January 2010 through December 30, 2010)*

- Taxable sales in Scurry County through the fourth quarter of 2010: \$778.12 million, up 144.2 percent from the same period in 2009.
- Taxable sales through the fourth quarter of 2010 in the city of:
 

Snyder:	\$729.75 million, up 162.1 percent from the same period in 2009.
---------	--

*Annual (2010)*

- Taxable sales in Scurry County during 2010: \$778.12 million, up 144.2 percent from 2009.
- Scurry County sent an estimated \$48.63 million (or 0.28 percent of Texas' taxable sales) in state sales taxes to the state treasury in 2010.
- Taxable sales during 2010 in the city of:
 

Snyder:	\$729.75 million, up 162.1 percent from 2009.
---------	---

#### *Sales Tax – Local Sales Tax Allocations*

*(The release date for sales tax allocations to cities for the sales activity month of September 2011 is currently scheduled for November 9, 2011.)*

**Monthly**

- Statewide payments based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$505.22 million, up 13.9 percent from August 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Scurry County based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$256,860.96, up 15.0 percent from August 2010.
- Payment based on the sales activity month of August 2011 to the city of:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$256,860.96, up 15.0 percent from August 2010.

**Fiscal Year**

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Scurry County based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$3.12 million, up 22.8 percent from fiscal 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011 to the city of:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$3.12 million, up 22.8 percent from fiscal 2010.

**January 2011 through August 2011 (Sales Activity Year-To-Date)**

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$3.99 billion, up 8.3 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Scurry County based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$2.13 million, up 24.2 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months through August 2011 to the city of:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$2.13 million, up 24.2 percent from the same period in 2010.

**12 months ending in August 2011**

- Statewide payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments to all cities in Scurry County based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$3.12 million, up 22.8 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011 to the city of:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$3.12 million, up 22.8 percent from the previous 12-month period.

■ **City Calendar Year-To-Date (RJ 2011)**

- Payment to the cities from January 2011 through October 2011:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$2.63 million, up 24.1 percent from the same period in 2010.

**Annual (2010)**

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months in 2010: \$5.77 billion, up 3.3 percent from 2009.
- Payments to all cities in Scurry County based on sales activity months in 2010: \$2.71 million, up 16.1 percent from 2009.
- Payment based on sales activity months in 2010 to the city of:  
     **Snyder:**                      \$2.71 million, up 16.1 percent from 2009.

**Property Tax**

- As of January 2009, property values in Scurry County: \$3.47 billion, down 5.8 percent from January 2008 values. The property tax base per person in Scurry County is \$213,614, above the statewide average of \$85,809. About 48.2 percent of the property tax base is derived from oil, gas and minerals.

**State Expenditures**

- Scurry County's ranking in state expenditures by county in fiscal year 2010: 130th. State expenditures in the county for FY2010: \$64.82 million, up 0.1 percent from FY2009.
- In Scurry County, 10 state agencies provide a total of 411 jobs and \$3.58 million in annualized wages (as of 1st quarter 2011).
- Major state agencies in the county (as of first quarter 2011):
  - Department of Criminal Justice
  - Department of Family and Protective Services
  - Department of Transportation

## **Higher Education**

- Community colleges in Scurry County fall 2010 enrollment:
  - Western Texas College, a Public Community College, had 2,307 students.
  
- Scurry County is in the service area of the following:
  - Western Texas College with a fall 2010 enrollment of 2,307 . Counties in the service area include:
    - Borden County
    - Dickens County
    - Fisher County
    - Jones County
    - Kent County
    - Mitchell County
    - Nolan County
    - Runnels County
    - Scurry County
    - Stonewall County
  
- Institutions of higher education in Scurry County fall 2010 enrollment:
  - None.

## **School Districts**

- Scurry County had 3 school districts with 7 schools and 3,194 students in the 2009-10 school year.  
(Statewide, the average teacher salary in school year 2009-10 was \$48,263. The percentage of students, statewide, meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all 2009-10 TAKS tests was 77 percent.)
  - Hermleigh ISD had 221 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$43,968. The percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 54 percent.
  - Ira ISD had 258 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$40,006. The percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 81 percent.
  - Snyder ISD had 2,715 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$47,575. The percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 70 percent.